Oklahoma University Children's Medical Office Building Final Report

Jonathan Ebersole

Structural

Dr. Hanagan

4/9/2014

[OKLAHOMA UNIVERSITY CHILDREN'S MEDICAL April 9, 2014 **OFFICE BUILDING FINAL REPORT**

Oklahoma University Children's **Medical Office** Building

Jonathan Ebersole Structural Option dia h esis/portfolios/2014/jme5193/index.htm



Project Team

- Owner: University Hospitals Trust
- Construction Manager: Flintco, Inc. Project Architect: Miles Associates

- Civil Engineer: Smith, Roberts, Baldischwiler, Inc.

General Information

- Size: 320,000 gsf
- Construction Dates: February 2007-Spring of 2009
- Building Cost: \$59,760,000
- Delivery Method: Design-Bid-Build

Architecture

- Exterior Façade comprised of brick Veneer with large glass curtain wall on the front face of the building
- Supports Hospital with additional office space, exam rooms, and labs
- Membrane roof system with rigid insulation and light weight insulating concrete

Structural Design

- Reinforced concrete columns and beams
- 10" thick flat slab system with drop panels
- Concrete shear walls located in elevator shafts and stairwells
 Drilled pier foundation with a minimum bearing capacity of 45 KSF

Mechanical Design

- 7,500 CFM Air Handling unit occupies each floor

Lighting/Electrical Design

- Service voltage is 480/277 V, three phase, with 4 wires
- Fluorescent lamps are used throughout the building to save energy costs

Table of Contents

Executive Summary4
Acknowledgements
Introduction
Building Description
Structural Framing System
Codes
Loading
Foundations9
Typical Bay13
Columns
Beams15
Slabs
Lateral system
Reinforcement
Proposed Structural Depth
Proposed Construction Breadth
Proposed Green Roof Breadth
Structural Redesign
Codes and References
Gravity
Load Combinations
Gravity Loads
Lateral Loads
RAM Model
Typical Bay
Vibrations
Impact on Foundations
Lateral System
Story Drift
Structural Depth Conclusion
Green Roof Breadth

[OKLAHOMA UNIVERSITY CHILDREN'S MEDICAL **OFFICE BUILDING FINAL REPORT**] April 9, 2014

Plant Types	
Materials	
Impact on Structure	
Costs	
Conclusion	
References	
Cost Analysis and Schedule Analysis Breadth	
Cost Analysis	
Schedule Analysis	
Conclusion	
Conclusion	
Appendix A	
Appendix B	
Appendix C	
Appendix D	
Appendix E	
Appendix F	67
Appendix G	
Appendix H	71
Appendix I	74
Appendix J	77
Appendix K	
Appendix L	
Appendix M	

Executive Summary

Oklahoma University Children's Medical Office Building is an office building located in Oklahoma. It is situated next to an existing hospital and parking garage. The building houses offices, examination rooms and labs for the expanding OU Children's Hospital. It is the largest free standing clinical office in the state and provides much needed medical services to the children of Oklahoma and their families. The building is twelve stories tall for a total of 170 feet and is approximately 320,000 psf.

The structure of the building is reinforced concrete. The building uses a flat slab system supported by columns and exterior beams. Drop panels are used at the column locations to provide extra shear and moment capacity to the slab. The columns are supported on drilled piers that transfer the loads to bedrock underneath the building. The building also uses shear walls and moment frames to resist the lateral forces.

This building provides several unique challenges that a typical office building would not otherwise have. These include a parking garage located on the first floor, a future helicopter pad positioned on the roof, and impact loads on lower levels for vehicle collisions with the building. These design parameters will increase the difficulty of future design assignments as all load cases must be analyzed.

The redesign of the structural system uses composite steel wide flanges and girders with composite decking. The roof is designed as k-series joists spanning between wide flange girders. The lateral system is comprised of concentric braced frames located in the existing shear wall locations. Additional moment frames where incorporated to increase the stiffness of the system. These frames are located along the eastern façade wall. Due to the architectural layout of the windows and floor plan, these frames could not be designed as braced frames.

With buildings becoming more energy efficient, a green roof breadth was conducted to study the vegetation and materials that are involved with a typical assembly. Hardy, succulent plants called sedums where chosen as the vegetation. These plants are typically used on green roofs since they tolerate droughts and can survive in a wide variety of climates. The materials where selected based on their durability, performance, and energy efficiency.

A cost and schedule analysis was also conducted to determine the cost difference and schedule impacts between a cast-in-place reinforced concrete system and a composite steel system. From the analysis, it was concluded that the steel system was more cost effective and less time consuming than the original concrete system.

4

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank the building owner, University Hospital Trust, for the use of their building. I would also like to thank Miles Associates and Zahl-Ford for providing me with the building drawings and specifications. I would like to thank the AE faculty for their dedication and guidance. Finally I would like to thank my friends and family for their continued support.

Introduction

Building Description

Oklahoma University Children's Medical Office Building is located on 1200 N. Children's Avenue Oklahoma City, Oklahoma between Stanton L. Young Blvd and N.E. 13th Street. Figure 1 shows the building's location and orientation on the site, highlighted in red. The building is twelve stories above grade and is approximately 170 feet tall. Miles Associates, Inc. designed the building for the University Hospitals Trust to provide additional medical offices for the expanding Oklahoma University Children's Hospital next door. The building is the first free-standing, multi-specialty physicians' office building in the state that will meet the needs of the children of Oklahoma as well as their families.



Figure 1. Building's location on the site.

The typical floor plan includes various necessities to the Oklahoma University Children's Medical Office Building that are not typical for other office buildings, such as exam rooms, x-ray rooms, and labs. However, there are similar rooms to the typical office building which include offices, storage rooms, waiting areas, and conference rooms. One challenge that this building possesses is a parking garage occupies the first floor of the building. This challenge will be especially difficult structurally as the basement is to be occupied by offices, exam rooms, and work areas. The typical floor is set up so that an elevator lobby and stairwell is located at the Southwest corner of the building as shown in red in Figure 2. The lobby leads to a waiting and reception area on the western face of the building and eventually to a stairwell at the northwest corner, shown in yellow. The waiting areas branch out to four rows of corridors with offices and exam rooms on each side, shown in blue. Finally shown in green, the corridors lead to another corridor on the eastern side of the building that runs parallel to the waiting areas. A conference room, shown in purple, is located at the northwest corner of the building. Each floor also contains its own mechanical rooms, shown in brown. This layout allows the building to be easily navigable for new guest and emergency situations. The floor layouts do not differ widely as most have the layout as described above. The only changes are the room types and sizes.



Figure 2. Floor plan showing typical rooms and layout.

Structural Framing System

In order to design a safe, functional building, the designers must review the codes to determine the appropriate loading conditions and standards to design the building by. Once the loads are determined, the designer must then understand how to transfer these loads into the ground. Next, the designer can analyze the structure to develop the appropriate sizes for the foundation, columns, beams, and slabs. An analysis for the lateral loads must be completed and the most efficient lateral system must be chosen. Finally the connections and reinforcement must be detailed.

Codes

Since the building was in the design phase in 2006, most of the newer updates of the codes were not released yet. The structural designers instead used the 2003 International Building Code, ASCE 7-02, and the ACI 318-02 codes. The International Building Code describes the live load cases and the general practices a designer should use while designing a building, but does not detail proper procedures for a structural analysis. ASCE 7-02 is used to determine the proper procedures for wind and seismic design. ASCE 7-02 also has factored load cases for dead and live loads as well as snow loads. Since the building is constructed from reinforced concrete, ACI 318-02 provides the proper procedures for designing concrete structures.

Loading

The primary gravity resisting system of the building is the columns, beams, and slabs. This system resists loading that are separated into three categories which are live load, dead load, and snow load. All three of these categories are loads that result from the force of gravity acting on the structure. Live loads are loads that are produced by the use and occupancy of the building. These loads include people and furniture. The loading can vary depending on the occupancy of the building and the room type. The Oklahoma University Children's Medical Office Building is designed as an office occupancy with interior partitions. The International Building Code requires that the minimum loading for an office building is 50 psi for the live loads, however; the designed loading is based on an 80 psf corridor loading to allow flexibility in the floor plan layout. The code standard for the corridor live load is then added to the 20 psf allowance for interior partitions for a total of 100 psf. The stairs and exits are also designed at 100 psf due to a higher occupancy for emergencies, while the mechanical rooms and electric rooms are designed at 125 psf. The roof also sees a live load for maintenance which is a minimum of 20 psf.

Dead loads consist of the weight of all the construction materials that are incorporated into the building. These are much easier to design for as they are known or can be easily approximated. Dead loads include the weight of the structure itself and the weight of mechanical equipment and lights. Typical dead loads are about 2 psf for ceilings and 10 psf for the duct systems, just to name a few.

The third load category is snow loads. This type of loading is caused by snow lying on the roof of the structure. Based on ASCE 7-02, the snow load calculations will be determined based on the criteria of a flat roof since the slope of the roof is less than 5°. ASCE 7-02 suggests that the ground snow load used in the calculations is to be 10 psf for Oklahoma City. In addition to the snow lying on the roof, a drift load must also be incorporated into the load. The snow drift load is the result of wind causing the snow to build up around obstructions on the roof, which adds additional loads to these areas. These obstructions include the helicopter pad, the parapet, stairwells, and elevator mechanical rooms.

OU Children's Medical Office Building has several unique loading cases which include ambulance load, vehicle impact load, and a load for a helicopter pad. One of the design parameters for the building is to have an ambulance bay, which presents another unique loading case. This loading is specified by AASHTO. In addition to the live loads, the building has a vehicle impact load. This load is located 18" above the finish floor and is a 6 kip unfactored load. Due to the proximity of a parking garage, a vehicle impact load must be applied to ensure the stability of the building in the event a column is struck by a vehicle. Another design requirement of the building is for the future installation of a helicopter pad on the roof. This loading is determined by the helicopter pad manufacture.

Foundations

The foundations receive the loading from the columns and must transfer it onto stable ground. A foundation plan is shown in Figure 3. The foundations are comprised of concrete drilled piers underneath the columns, shown in blue, and spread footings under the shear walls in the southwestern corner, shown in red. Areas shown in green have a spread footing underneath the column with a drilled pier under the spread footing.



Figure 3. Foundation Plan highlighting the footings and drilled piers.

The drilled piers are used to transfer the loading from the columns down into the bedrock. From the geotechnical report, the pier bearing elevation must be below 1195 feet in order to achieve the maximum bearing capacity. The bottom of pier elevation exceeds the 1195 feet with most at 1190 feet. The lowest elevation is at 1167 feet. The shaft size ranges from 30" in diameter to 72" in diameter. The bearing capacity ranges depending on the pier depth and diameter with the minimum at 679 kips and the maximum at 4307 kips. The reinforcement depends on the diameter. The smallest pier (30" in diameter) uses 8 #6 bars while the largest pier (72" in diameter) uses 21 #9 bars. The ties are typically #5 bars but #3 bars are used for the #6 vertical bars. The spacing for the ties is different depending on the pier and vertical bars. The smallest spacing is 10" on center and largest spacing is 18" on center. Figure 4 shows a typical detail of a drilled pier.

April 9, 2014



Figure 4. Typical Pier Detail

The spread footings are designed to transfer the load from a column or wall over a larger area so the soil can resist the loading without significant settling. The footings are made of 4'-6" deep cast-in-place reinforced concrete. 21 #11 bars are used on both the top and bottom to resist the tensile forces created by the column. Since the footings are relatively short in length, 90° hooks are required on each end in order to get a full development length. #5 stirrups are used at 24" on center to resist the shear forces. Figure 5 shows a typical footing detail.



Figure 5. Typical Footing Detail

Typical Bay

A typical bay consists of four columns situated in a square as shown in Figure 6. A flat slab spans between the columns with drop panels. A flat slab refers to a slab that is supported by columns and drop panels and not by beams. The reinforced slab is divided into the column strips, which span between the columns, and the middle strips, which are at the interior of the bay. The bay also consists of drop panels located below the slab at the four columns. The purpose of the drop panel is to provide extra thickness to control the negative moment created by the load case and to resist shear. Without the drop panels, the slab would have to be thicker in order to resist the distributed load case. As a result, the drop panels use less material and therefore save money on construction costs.



Figure 6. Typical Bay Plan

The strips designated with a C are column strips and those designated with an M are middle strips.

Columns

The columns are generally designed to handle the gravity loads of the structure. The columns for this particular building vary in size from 20" x 20" to 30" x 30" with most in the range of 22" x 22" and 28" x 28". As the building increases in height, the columns decrease slightly in size due to the decrease in the loading. Typically the columns are square with the exception of the columns facing the western exterior curtain wall which are circular. The typical concrete strength for the columns is 7000 psi. The reinforcement varies depending on the column size and orientation but most use #6 bars. Most columns require #3 ties at 12" on center. The reinforcement is arranged around the perimeter of the column with a typical 2" offset from the face of the column. This is called clear cover and it is to ensure that enough concrete surrounds the bar to allow the maximum bonding strength between the steel and concrete. ACI 318-02 states that the minimum cover for a column is $1\frac{1}{2}$; however, since the parking garage columns are exposed to the weather, the code states that the minimum cover is now 2". The designers chose to use the 2" cover for the rest of the building for constructability. Refer to Figure 7 for a typical column section. The vertical bars are spliced together with a class B lap splice from the ACI 318-02 code, which refers to a splice where a percentage of the development length of a bar overlaps another bar. This overlap allows for continuous reinforcement the total height of the column. Due to the helicopter pad on the roof, six of the columns are raised above the roof level and are larger in size on account of the larger load.



Figure 7. Typical Column Section

Beams

The majority of the beams are located at the exterior of the building. The beams are mainly rectangular in shape and have a range of sizes from 16" x 60" to 36" x 24". Most beams are designed with a concrete strength of 5000 psi. All beams have top reinforcement as well as bottom reinforcement to resist the positive and negative moments resulting from the distributed loading. However, a portion of the beams also contain middle bars. The typical bar size is #9 but #7 is also used. #4 and #3 stirrups are used for the shear reinforcement with a clear cover of 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ ". Refer to Figure 8 for a typical beam section.



Figure 8. Typical Beam Section

Slabs

In order for the slabs to resist the dead and live loading, they must be reinforced. They are divided into column strips and middle strips, as shown in Figure 6, with different reinforcing in each. The column strip is located at locations between the columns. Since the column strips are significantly thinner than beams, a drop panel is used to carry the extra moment that the slab cannot. The slab thicknesses for the column strip ranges from 10 inches to 12 inches. The 10 inch slab is used in the second through twelfth floors and the roof. The 12 inch slab is used for the parking garage located on the first floor. These thicknesses do not include the drop panels. The reinforcement is typically placed on the top at column locations and on the bottom at mid span. Typically # 6 bars are used at 6" on center. One the other hand, the middle strips are designed differently. The middle strips have reinforcement spanning in two directions. The slab thickness for the middle strips is similar to the column strips in that they also range from 10 inches, for all floors not including the first floor, to 12 inches, for the first floor. The placement reinforcement is also similar to column strips in that the top reinforcement is located at the supports, while the bottom reinforcement is located at mid span. Typically #6 bars are used at 12" on center. All structural slabs have a concrete strength of 5000 psi and a typical clear cover of 1".

Lateral system

Since the building is a reinforced concrete structure, all of the connections between the columns are considered rigid. This means that the connection between the column and the beam has the ability to transfer a lateral load from the diaphragm to the column and into the ground. However, if the lateral loads are great enough, a separate system must be used to transfer the loads. This is called the Lateral Force Resisting System and it involves the use of shear walls, bracing, or moment frames to transfer the loads. The primary Lateral Force Resisting System for this building relies on the shear walls located in the elevator shafts, stairwells and some interior walls as shown in red in Figure 9. Since a shear wall must be continuous from the roof to the foundation, they are typically placed in the elevator shafts or stairwells as shown in Figure 10. The shear walls are typically one foot thick with #4 vertical and horizontal bars at 12" on center. They typically span between two columns, shown in Figure 11, allowing the reinforcement of the shear wall to tie into the column, making the system stiffer.



Figure 9. Floor plan highlighting shear wall locations.



Figure 10. Left – Shear wall located at elevator shafts. Right – Shear wall located at stairwells.



Figure 11. Typical Shear Wall Section

Reinforcement

The placement of reinforcement is key to a sturdy concrete building. Since concrete becomes brittle in tension, steel reinforcement is needed to carry the extra tensile forces. The bars are placed at high tensile stress locations in order to prevent the concrete from cracking. In the case of a beam or slab, the reinforcement is placed at the top towards the supports. This is where a negative moment occurs and therefore where higher tensile stresses occur. Reinforcement is located at the bottom towards the mid span of the beam or slab to provide extra tension capacity where a positive moment occurs. The location of the reinforcement for the beams is shown in Figure 8 while the slab reinforcement is shown in Figure 7. Columns are reinforced this way to provide tension capacity during

lateral loads such as wind or seismic. The reinforcement in columns must be continuous. When are bar is not long enough to span a certain length, it is spliced together to form a continuous bond. These splices can either be mechanical splices or non-mechanical splices such as the class "B" splice mentioned in the column. For non-mechanical splices, the bars must overlap each other a distance relative to the development length to achieve the desired load transfer. Stirrups are used to prevent shear and torsion. Stirrups are smaller bars that encase the horizontal or vertical reinforcement as shown in Figure 8 for beams and Figure 7 for columns. The spacing is critical to prevent failure under torsional conditions. The reinforcement must also have a certain length embedded in the concrete to create a strong bond between the concrete and the steel. This length is called development length. If development length cannot be achieved with a shorter reinforcement span, then special methods must be used, such as bending the bar to form a hook, to achieve the desired length.

Proposed Structural Depth

Since the Oklahoma University Children's Medical Office Building is constructed using a two way concrete system, the construction process is extremely long to allow the concrete to cure. This adds additional costs to the project, making the job more expensive. Concrete is a labor intensive material meaning that the installation requires many skilled labors to construct the forms, set the reinforcement, and leveling the concrete. The high amount of labor adds to the overall project costs, making concrete a relatively expensive material. The proposed thesis will be a redesign of the building structure using steel. The gravity system will consist of a composite steel system with composite decking for the floors. The roof will consist of k series joists with wide flange girders and roof deck. The lateral system will consist of steel braced frames located at existing shear wall locations. Since concentric braced frames are not quite as stiff as shear walls, additional braced frames or moment fames will have to be included in the design. A redesign using steel instead of concrete should reduce construction time resulting in lower costs to the owner. Steel in comparison with concrete is not as labor intensive. Steel does not require the level of skilled labor as concrete does to install unless complicated field welds are used. As part of the proposal, bolted or factory welded connections will be used where ever possible. These connections will be used to speed up the construction process and reduce the costs as field welds become expensive and time consuming due to the skilled labor and precision of the weld.

Proposed Construction Breadth

Due to the proposed change of material, the first proposed breadth is a detailed cost analysis and schedule impacts of the proposed steel system. Since the material will be changed from concrete to steel, the costs for labor should decrease reducing the overall project costs. The construction

time will be decreased due to the material change. The time it takes for the concrete to cure dramatically increases construction times. With using steel as the structural material, these times can be greatly reduced saving money.

Proposed Green Roof Breadth

The second breadth will be the addition of an extensive green roof. With the addition of the green roof, this will potentially reduce the heat island effect of the building. The green roof also has the potential to clean the air by filtering pollutants such as carbon dioxide. This will potentially reduce the heating and cooling cost of the building. An extensive green roof will be used instead of an intensive green roof due to the lower initial costs, lower maintenance costs, and lower roof loads. Research will be conducted to use local plants to reduce the amount of maintenance. The plants that are typically used for an extensive green roof are hardy perennials that can withstand wind and extreme temperature fluctuations. Sedums are typically used because they are drought resistant and require little maintenance. Other components of the green roof must also be researched to provide a stable area for growing the plants without causing damage to the building such as water leaks. These areas include the growing medium, a filter membrane, a drainage layer, a root barrier, and a waterproofing membrane. The down side of the green roof addition will be higher initial costs and additional loads which will have to be accounted in the load calculations.

Structural Redesign

Codes and References

The structural redesign will conform to the latest codes and standards available. The codes used are as follows:

- International Building Code 2009
- ASCE 7-10
- AISC Steel Construction Manual 14th Edition
- AISC Steel Design Guide 11

Additional references:

- Vulcraft Steel Deck Catalog
- Steel Joist Institute Joist Catalog
- AISC Design Examples Version 14.1
- RS Means Cost Construction Data 2014

Gravity

The current system for the building is a reinforced concrete two way slab system with drop panels located at interior column locations. This system will be replaced with a composite steel system with composite decking for each of the floors. A typical floor plan is shown in Figure 12. The roof will consist of roof deck, K-series joists and wide flange girders. To make this system economical, an unshored condition will be used in the design of the metal deck, beams and girders. The new building design will be analyzed using RAM software with spot checks done by hand calculations. The typical bay that was spot checked is shown in Figure 12 in red for the floor plan and in Figure 13 in green for the roof plan.



Figure 12. Typical Floor Plan



Figure 13. Roof Plan

Load Combinations

The basic load combinations where determined from ASCE 7-10. It can be concluded that load combination 2 will control for gravity members. Lateral members will be either controlled by load combination 4 for wind or load combination 5 for seismic.

1. 1.4D2. 1.2D + 1.6L + 0.5(Lr or S or R)3. 1.2D + 1.6(Lr or S or R) + (L or 0.5W)4. 1.2D + 1.0W + L + 0.5(Lr or S or R)5. 1.2D + 1.0E + L + 0.2S6. 0.9D + 1.0W7. 0.9D + 1.0E

Gravity Loads

The gravity loads where determined using the IBC 2009 building code and ASCE 7-10 code. A summary of the loads is shown in Table 1. The live load of 80 psf for corridors was chosen over the office live load of 70 psf to provide flexibility to the floor plan. Loads for specific materials were determined from AISC Steel Construction Manual Table 17-13. A breakdown of the green roof loads will be explained in further detail during the Green Roof Breadth section. This value is an estimate. The determination of the wall loads and miscellaneous loads are located in Appendix A.

Description	Load		
Live Load	80 psf		
Roof Live Load	20 psf		
Snow Load	10 psf		
Superimposed	15 psf		
Carpet with Pad	2 psf		
Rigid Insulation	2 psf		
Green Roof	30 psf		
Floor Deck	41 psf		
Roof Deck	3 psf		
Beam Allowance	5 psf		
Joist	12 plf		
Girder Allowance	2 psf		
Ambulance Bay	60 psf		
Heliconter Pad	8.33		
riencopter rau	kips		
Exterior Brick Wall	660 plf		
Exterior Glass Wall	220 plf		

Table 1. Gravity Load Summary

Lateral Loads

The lateral loads where determined using procedures outlined in ASCE 7-10. The wind loads where determined from the Directional Procedure in ASCE 7-10. The wind loads determined in the RAM model are higher and therefore more conservative than the loads calculated by hand. The loads in the North-South direction are summarized in Table 2. The East-West direction loads are summarized in Table 3. The hand calculations are shown in Appendix B.

Height	qz	G	Cp Windward	Cp Leeward	Pressure (psf)	Story Force (kips)	Story Shear (kips)	Overturning Moment (k- ft)
165.78	20.13	0.97	0.80	-0.30	21.36	59.08	59.08	9794.28
153.78	19.70	0.97	0.80	-0.30	21.03	33.79	92.87	14281.55
141.78	19.25	0.97	0.80	-0.30	20.68	33.23	126.10	17878.46
129.78	18.77	0.97	0.80	-0.30	20.31	32.63	158.73	20599.98
117.78	18.25	0.97	0.80	-0.30	19.91	31.99	190.72	22463.00
105.78	17.70	0.97	0.80	-0.30	19.48	31.30	222.02	23485.28
93.78	17.10	0.97	0.80	-0.30	19.02	30.55	252.57	23686.01
81.78	16.45	0.97	0.80	-0.30	18.51	29.74	282.31	23087.31
69.78	15.72	0.97	0.80	-0.30	17.95	30.13	312.44	21802.06
56.67	14.81	0.97	0.80	-0.30	17.25	31.98	344.42	19518.28
42.00	13.59	0.97	0.80	-0.30	16.31	31.25	375.67	15778.14
28.00	12.11	0.97	0.80	-0.30	15.16	28.30	403.97	11311.16
14.00	10.13	0.97	0.80	-0.30	13.63	26.03	430.00	6020.00
				Base Shear (kips) 430.00				
						Total Overturning Moment (k-ft)		229705.52

Table 2. Wind Loads in the North-South direction

Height	qz	G	Cp Windward	Cp Leeward	Pressure (psf)	Story Force (kips)	Story Shear (kips)	Overturning Moment (k- ft)
165.78	20.13	0.86	0.80	-0.50	22.45	122.65	59.08	9794.28
153.78	19.70	0.86	0.80	-0.50	22.15	72.81	195.46	30057.84
141.78	19.25	0.86	0.80	-0.50	21.84	71.79	267.25	37890.71
129.78	18.77	0.86	0.80	-0.50	21.51	70.70	337.95	43859.15
117.78	18.25	0.86	0.80	-0.50	21.16	69.54	407.49	47994.17
105.78	17.70	0.86	0.80	-0.50	20.79	68.29	475.78	50328.01
93.78	17.10	0.86	0.80	-0.50	20.37	66.94	542.72	50896.28
81.78	16.45	0.86	0.80	-0.50	19.92	65.45	608.17	49736.14
69.78	15.72	0.86	0.80	-0.50	19.42	66.70	674.87	47092.43
56.67	14.81	0.86	0.80	-0.50	18.80	71.34	746.21	42287.72
42.00	13.59	0.86	0.80	-0.50	17.97	70.44	816.65	34299.30
28.00	12.11	0.86	0.80	-0.50	16.94	64.76	881.41	24679.48
14.00	10.13	0.86	0.80	-0.50	15.59	60.64	942.05	13188.70
				Base Shear (kips)		942.05		
				Total Overturning Moment (k-ft)		erturning nt (k-ft)	482104.21	

Table 3. Wind Loads in the East-West Direction

The seismic loads where determined by the Equivalent Lateral Force Procedure outlined in ASCE 7-10. The seismic design criterion for Oklahoma University Children's Medical Office Building was determined from the USGS Seismic Design Maps application. The seismic loads are summarized in Table 4 for both the X and Y directions. The hand calculations can be found in Appendix C.

hx (ft)	Wx (kips)	Wxhx ^k	Cvx	Fx (kips)	Vx (kips)	Overturning Moment (k-ft)
166	144	359028	0.135	60.2	60.2	9993.2
154	154	342316	0.129	57.5	117.7	18125.8
142	154	315642	0.119	53.1	170.8	24253.6
130	154	288968	0.109	48.6	219.4	28522
118	154	262294	0.099	44.2	263.6	31104.8
106	154	235620	0.089	39.7	303.3	32149.8
94	154	208946	0.078	34.8	338.1	31781.4
82	154	182272	0.068	30.3	368.4	30208.8
70	154	155598	0.058	25.9	349.3	24451
56	154	124479	0.047	21	415.3	23256.8
42	154	93359	0.035	15.6	430.9	18097.8
28	154	62239	0.023	10.3	441.2	12353.6
14	154	31119	0.012	5.4	446.6	6252.4
		Base Shear (kips)		446.6		
		Total Overturning				
				Momen	290551	

Table 4. Seismic Loads for North-South and East-West Directions

As shown in the tables, the wind in the Y direction is the controlling load case for the lateral system.

RAM Model

The proposed building solution will be analyzed using RAM software. Several modeling assumptions must be made in order to create the model. These are:

- Each floor diaphragm is considered to be rigid
- The bottoms of columns will be considered as pinned connections

The model shall be designed conforming to the 2009 International Building Code, ASCE 7-10 code, and AISC 360-10 LRFD steel code. Figure 14 and Figure 15 shows a typical floor plan and an isometric view of the RAM model, respectively.



Figure 14. Typical Floor Plan of RAM Model



Figure 15. Isometric View of RAM Model

Typical Bay

This section explains the breakdown of the design of the typical bay. A plan view of the typical bay is shown in Figure 16.





The decking will be composite decking with a 3 ¹/₄" lightweight concrete. The topping was chosen to conform to a two hour fire rating without the deck having to be fireproofed. The deck will be designed using the Vulcraft Deck Catalog. Based on the applied loading and a 3 span condition, the deck will be 1.5 VLR 19 gauge. The unshored span for the deck controls the beam spacing for the bay. Hand calculations for the determination of the deck are located in Appendix D. The deck does not need fireproofing; however, the deck in the parking garage should be encased in at least 2" of concrete to protect it from the weather.

The beams will be designed based on composite action with the concrete. In order to analyze the composite section, several design assumptions must be made:

- F'c = 4000 psi
- The deck is perpendicular with the beam
- 1 weak stud per rib
- $\frac{3}{4}$ " diameter per stud
- 1 stud per foot

The unshored construction, wet concrete deflection, and live load deflection was checked to determine the final beam design. The final design will be a W14x22 with 20 studs per beam with a 1" chamber. The full hand calculations for the beam are located in Appendix D. The beam is shown in Figure 16 in red. The beams will be sprayed with fireproofing based on a two hour fire rating for offices. The beams in the parking garage will need to be encased in a least 2" of concrete.

The girders will also be designed based on composite action with the concrete. The girder will be designed based on similar assumptions:

- F'c =4000 psi
- Y = 5"
- The deck is parallel with the girder
- 1 weak stud per rib
- ³/₄" diameter per stud
- 1 stud per foot

The girder will also be checked based on unshored strength and live load deflections. The final girder design is a W16x31 with 38 studs and a $\frac{3}{4}$ " chamber. The full calculations for the girder are located in Appendix D. The girder is shown in green in Figure 16. The girders will be sprayed with two hour fireproofing. Similar to the beams, the girder will also need to have a concrete cover of 2" for weather protection.

The roof will be designed using roofing deck with k-series bar joists spanning between wide flange girders. The roof deck will designed based on the Vulcraft Deck Catalog for roof. The deck will be 1.5 B 22 gauge roofing deck. The joists for the typical bay will be 24K9 joists as shown in red in Figure 17. The joists where designed from the LRFD economy tables from the joist catalog. The girders will be a W18x40 based on table 3-2 from AISC Steel Construction Manual. The girders where checked for unbraced length since they are not continuously braced by the roof deck. The girder is shown in the typical roof plan in green in Figure 17. Hand calculations for the typical roof bay are

found in Appendix E. The roof deck, joists, and girders will be sprayed with a two hour fire rating.





The columns will be spliced at every other floor beginning with the second floor and ending with the twelfth floor. The columns for the roof level will not be spliced due to the odd number of floors. The columns will be encased in concrete for the bottom two floors with a minimum cover of 3" below the first floor due to the permanent exposure with the earth. The columns below the first floor will have a cover of at least 2" to protect the steel from the weather. A column hand check at the base of the building is shown in Appendix F.

Vibrations

Since the building lost mass due to the reduction of material, vibrations must be considered. The vibration analysis will be conducted using AISC Design Guide 11 for

human activity. The vibrations analysis for the typical bay passes the criteria based in AISC Design Guide 11. The hand calculations are located in Appendix G for the vibration analysis.

Impact on Foundations

Since the building decreased in weight, the foundations can be reduced in size. This analysis however, is outside the scope of this thesis but will be looked at conceptually. The foundations will have about 40% less load and therefore could be redesigned to reduce some costs on the building.

Lateral System

The current lateral system comprises of shear walls located in the stairwells and elevator shafts with two shear walls located in the center of the floor plan. These shear walls are replaced with braced frames located at the original shear wall locations. The braced frames will be concentric diagonal braces composed of square HSS tubes. The braces are shown in Figure 18 in red. The critical brace frame sizes where checked based on AISC Steel Manual and AISC Design Examples. The columns where determined to be a W12x106 at the base. The beam is a W18x40 and the brace is an HSS 8x8x5/16. The critical frame is located in orange in Figure 18. The hand calculations for the brace are located in Appendix H. Since the braced frames are not as stiff as the shear walls, additional moment frames are located along the East wall. Unfortunately these frames could not be braced frames do to impacts from the architecture. The critical moment frame is shown in blue in Figure 18. The hand calculation for the moment frame is shown in blue in Figure 18. The hand calculation for the moment frame is shown in blue in Figure 18. The hand calculation for the moment frame is shown in blue in Figure 18. The hand calculation for the moment frames are located in Appendix I.



Figure 18. Lateral System Layout

Story Drift

The story drift determined from the RAM model is 4.75 inches. The existing building drift is 4.77 inches calculated from an ETABS model. The allowable drift is calculated from the IBC 2009 allowable equation of h/400. Based on this equation the redesign of the lateral system is under this allowable drift of 4.98 inches at the roof.

Structural Depth Conclusion

From the structural redesign, steel can greatly reduce the amount of weight and material used to construct a building, drastically changing how the building preforms under certain loading patterns. The proposed braced frames located in the shear wall locations did not provide enough stiffness to control the building under the determined lateral forces; thus, additional moment frames had to be included in order to reduce the drift under the code allowable.

Green Roof Breadth

Green roofs are one of the new developments for providing an energy efficient building while having the ability to reduce carbon emissions in heavy urbanized areas. The two types of green

roofs are intensive and extensive. An intensive green roof is uses a larger growing medium to provide a larger assortment of plants that can range from flowers to trees and can provide tenants with a garden environment. These roofs are typically more expensive, require more maintenance, and have higher loads associated with them. An extensive green roof has a shallower growing medium in which only small plants and grasses can grow. These are typically designed to have little human intervention to sustain the roof. This means that extensive green roofs have a lower maintenance cost, but are typically designed not as a roof garden but as a cost effective way to reduce the energy costs of the building. These roofs also have a lower initial cost and have lower loads. The green roof that will be used as part of this study will be an extensive green roof due to the lower costs and impact on the structure. Building occupants will also have limited access to the roof to justify the need for an intensive roof garden. A study is conducted to determine the appropriate plants and materials to utilize for a green roof that is site specific for the Oklahoma University Children's Medical Office Building.

Plant Types

In order to determine the appropriate plants that can survive in Oklahoma's environment, the hardiness zone for Oklahoma needs to be determined. As shown in Figure 19 from the USDA website, the hardiness zone for Oklahoma City is zone 7a and 7b. The hardiness zone allows gardeners and growers to determine which plants will most likely thrive in a specific environment.



Figure 19. Hardiness Zone Map

(Image obtained from http://planthardiness.ars.usda.gov/PHZMWeb/)

Due to the selection of the green roof being an extensive green roof, the selection of plants becomes very limited because of the shallow growing medium. Sedum plants are typically used for an extensive green roof because of their low maintenance and ability to resist long droughts. These plants can grow in soil as shallow as four inches. Five plants have been selected for the green roof, which include: sedum oreganum (Figure 20), sedum sexangulare (Figure 21), sedum floriferum (Figure 22), sedum spurium (Figure 23), and phedimus takesimensis (Figure 24).



Figure 20. Sedum Oreganum (Image obtained from <u>http://www.greatcity.org/</u>)



Figure 21. Sedum Sexangulare (Image obtained from http://www.greenroofplants.com/catalog/plant-catalog/viewplant/?plantid=717)



Figure 22. Sedum Floriferum (*Image obtained from <u>http://macgardens.org/?m=201306</u>)*



Figure 23. Sedum Spurium (Image obtained from http://www.greenroofplants.com/catalog/plant-catalog/viewplant/?plantid=733)


Figure 24. Phedimus Takesimensis (Image obtained from <u>http://www.greenroofplants.com/catalog/plant-catalog/viewplant/?order_code=PHGC</u>)

All of these plants can grow in Oklahoma's hardiness zone and can tolerate droughts, which reduces the need for an irrigation system. These plants are typically small with the average height being five inches. As shown in the figures above, these plants come in a range of colors including green, yellow and red.

Materials

A typical green roof is comprised of the vegetation, growing media, a filter fabric, a drainage panel, a root barrier, and a water proof membrane. Rigid insulation and vapor barrier can also be included above the roof deck. A typical section for a green roof is shown in Figure 25.



Figure 25. Green Roof Cross Section (Image obtained from http://www.vegetalid.us/green-roof-systems/green-roof-101/what-is-a-green-roof)

For an extensive green roof, the growing medium is typically four inches. Rooflite extensive mcl growing medium will be used for this green roof. This growing medium provides the correct nutrients needed by the sedum plants selected while providing enough porosity for water and air. A filter fabric is used to filter sediments from the growing medium before it enters the drainage panel. The filter fabric that will be used is FF35 from Green Roof Solutions. This fabric was selected due to its high tear strength and high puncture strength while allowing water to pass through. A drainage panel is used to collect water and distribute that water throughout the entire green roof system while allowing excess water to flow into the roof drain. The drainage panel that was used is GRS 32 from Green Roof Solutions. This drainage panel has rounded edges on the bottom of the panel to prevent damage to the roof. Since the drainage panel has a built in roof protection system, no protection fabric is needed to protect the roofing assembly. A snapping system is incorporated into the design which allows for fast and easy installation. A root barrier is essential to prevent damage to the roofing assembly from root penetration. The RB20 root barrier selected is also from Green Roof Solutions and was selected based the high puncture and tear resistance. A lower grade root barrier can be selected for this roof since the plants are typically small. A water proof membrane beneath the root barrier ensures that no water will penetrate the roof causing leaks, damage, and mold. The Kemper System Kemperol 2K-PUR was selected because it is idea for areas such as roof gardens. The membrane is root resistant which provides extra protection from the plant roots. Rigid insulation was also chosen for this roofing assembly to further increase the energy efficiency of the building. Styrofoam Brand Highload 60 Insulation was selected from DOW Building Solutions due to its performance under the higher loads for the green roof. 2" thick insulation will be used which provides an R-value of 10. Finally a vapor barrier is required to prevent the passage of moisture to the ceiling. A Roof Aqua Guard BREA vapor barrier will be used. The material specification sheets can be found in Appendix J.

Impact on Structure

Since a green roof has much higher loads due to the additional materials and water storage capacity, they greatly impact the structure of the building. Breakdowns of the weights of the materials associated with the green roof assembly are summarized in Table 5 shown below.

Material	Weight
Vegetation	2 psf
Growing Media	17 psf
Filter Fabric	0.024 psf
Drainage Panel (Including Water)	2 psf
Root Barrier	0.05 psf
Water Proof Membrane Total	0.05 psf 22 psf

Table 5. Weights of Green Roof Materials

The total weight for the green roof assembly is 22 psf which is less than the 30 psf assumed for the green roof dead load.

Costs

In addition to the increased dead loads on the structural system, a green roof also adds an increase in initial costs for the building. RS Means reports that a typical built up roof costs about \$3.04 per square foot verses the green roof which costs \$9.37 per square foot. A cost analysis for the green roof is shown in Table 6. The additional cost for the green roof will be about \$412,000.00 more than a typical built up roof.

			Green Roof				
	Unit	Quantity	Waste Factor	Unit Price	Labor	Equipment	Total
Vegetation	S.F.	22705.50	1.00	2.50	0.33	0.00	64256.57
Growing Medium	S.F.	22705.50	1.00	0.25	0.53	0.41	27019.55
Filter Fabric	S.F.	22705.50	1.00	0.26	3.88	0.51	105580.58
Drainage Panel	S.F.	22705.50	1.00	2.70	0.67	0.00	76517.54
Root Barrier	S.F.	22705.50	1.00	0.70	0.77	0.00	33377.09
Water Proof Membrane	S.F.	22705.50	1.00	0.26	3.88	0.51	105580.58
						Total:	\$412,331.88

Table 6. Cost Analysis of Green Roof

Conclusion

The choice of an extensive green roof is ideal for this type of building since the roof reduces energy costs, has lower maintenance costs, and has the lowest impact on the structure. Even though the green roof costs significantly more than a built-up roof initially, the reduced energy costs will offset the initial costs within a few years. An extensive green roof requires little maintenance to sustain itself. The choice of plants require little upkeep and irrigation. Also, extensive green roofs have the lightest loads requiring the least impact on the structural layout.

References

- Emory Knoll Farms. "Green Roof Plants." 2014. < http://www.greenroofplants.com/>.
- Green Roof Solutions. "Green Roof Solutions." 2013. http://www.greenroofsolutions.com/>.
- United States. Environmental Protection Agency. "Reducing Urban Heat Island: Compendium of Strategies."
 http://www.epa.gov/heatislands/resources/pdf/GreenRoofsCompendium.pdf>.

Cost Analysis and Schedule Analysis Breadth

Since the building material changed from cast in place concrete to structural steel, a cost analysis and schedule analysis must be conducted in order to determine if the new system will be cost effective.

Cost Analysis

To determine if the new system is more cost effective, a cost breakdown of each structural component was established. The cost data was taken from RS Means Building Construction Data 2014. RS Means divides the cost of a specific element by the cost of the material, labor, and equipment to determine the approximate cost of an element. The cost breakdown for the original concrete structure can be seen in Table 7. The cost for the proposed steel system is shown in Table 8.

Existing Building Conditions								
Total Cost of Concrete								
	Unit	Quantity	Waste Factor	Unit Price	Labor	Equipment	Total	
Beams	C.Y.	1843.33	1.05	110.00	28.00	8.65	280462.66	
Columns 6000	C.Y.	1591.00	1.05	113.00	18.00	5.55	226240.20	
Columns 7000	C.Y.	19.56	1.05	116.00	18.00	5.55	2843.05	
Slabs	C.Y.	9298.33	1.05	110.00	15.75	4.85	1265502.71	
Walls	C.Y.	1524.14	1.05	110.00	23.00	7.05	221838.58	
Drop Panels	C.Y.	204.00	1.05	110.00	15.75	4.85	27764.40	
			Total Cost of Fo	ormwork				
	Unit	Quantity	Waste Factor	Unit Price	Labor	Equipment	Total	
Beams	SFCA	98379.48	1.10	2.47	8.10	0.00	1064170.84	
Columns	SFCA	69762.00	1.10	2.30	6.95	0.00	661343.76	
Slabs with Drop Panels	S.F.	296828.00	1.10	4.20	4.77	0.00	2787214.92	
Walls	SFCA	79457.19	1.10	2.91	7.65	0.00	862189.97	
		Т	otal Cost of Rein	forcement				
	Unit	Quantity	Waste Factor	Unit Price	Labor	Equipment	Total	
Beams	Lb.	264258.00	1.05	0.50	0.30	0.00	218012.85	
Columns	Lb.	230888.20	1.05	0.50	0.35	0.00	202027.18	
Slabs	Lb.	1333333.00	1.05	0.50	0.28	0.00	1073333.07	
Walls	Lb.	218499.00	1.05	0.50	0.20	0.00	158411.78	

Total \$9,051,355.94

Table 7. Concrete Cost Analysis

		Pro	posed Build	ding Solut	ion		
			Total Cost of S	steel Beams			
	Unit	Quantity	Waste Factor	Unit Price	Labor	Equipment	Total
Beams simple	ton 491.40 1.00 2750.00		2750.00	455.00	131.00	1639310.40	
Beams Moment	ton	158.80	1.00	3175.00	360.00	196.00	592482.80
		Т	otal Cost of St	eel Column	5		
	Unit	Quantity	Waste Factor	Unit Price	Labor	Equipment	Total
Columns	ton	345.30	1.00	2805.50	455.00	131.00	1171084.95
			Total Cost of S	iteel Braces			
	Unit	Quantity	Waste Factor	Unit Price	Labor	Equipment	Total
Braces	ton	74.50	1.00	2750.00	455.00	131.00	248532.00
			Total Cost of	Steel Deck			
	Unit	Quantity	Waste Factor	Unit Price	Labor	Equipment	Total
Floor Deck	S.F.	274122.00	1.00	2.13	0.43	0.04	712717.20
Roof Deck	S.F.	22705.50	1.00	1.53	0.34	0.03	43140.45
		Tot	al Cost of Con	icrete Toppi	ng		
	Unit	Quantity	Waste Factor	Unit Price	Labor	Equipment	Total
Topping 4000	C.Y.	2746.90	1.05	104.00	18.00	5.55	364650.98
Reinforcement	C.S.F.	2741.22	1.00	17.20	26.00	0.00	118420.70
			Total Cost of	Steel Joists			
	Unit	Quantity	Waste Factor	Unit Price	Labor	Equipment	Total
	L.F.	2246.49	1.00	10.35	1.77	0.79	29002.19
		1	Fotal Cost of F	ire Proofing			
	Unit	Quantity	Waste Factor	Unit Price	Labor	Equipment	Total
Beams	S.F.	36194.20	1.00	0.60	0.81	0.12	55377.13
Columns	S.F.	19197.00	1.00	0.66	1.03	0.15	35322.48
Braces	S.F.	3809.30	1.00	0.60	0.81	0.12	5828.23
Roof Deck	S.F.	22705.50	1.00	0.86	0.93	0.14	43821.62

Cost Analysis and Schedule Analysis Breadth 41

	April 9, 201	4 OFFIC	E BUILDIN	G FINAL	REPOR	T]	
Joists	S.F.	1123.25	1.00	0.60	0.84	0.12	1752.27
		Tot	al Cost of She	ar Connect	ors		
	Unit	Quantity	Waste Factor	Unit Price	Labor	Equipment	Total
Shear connectors	Ea.	33365.00	1.00	0.53	0.87	0.49	63059.85

IOKLAHOMA UNIVERSITY CHILDREN'S MEDICAL

Total

\$5,124,503.23

Table 8. Steel Cost Analysis

The concrete structure costs about \$9,050,000.00 which is extremely close to the cost of \$9,500,000.00 obtained from the building developer. There is a difference in cost because the foundations and slab on grade where not included in the cost analysis. These two items were not redesigned and therefore not included in the cost analysis. The cost of the steel totaled at about \$5,100,000.00, a drop of about \$4,000,000.00 from the original design.

Schedule Analysis

Since steel erection times are much shorter than the placement of cast-in-place concrete, a schedule analysis was conducted to determine the estimated time of both systems. To develop the schedule, daily output data obtained from RS Means was used to determine to how long each element will take to construct. The schedule was developed using Microsoft Project. The start date for the construction was assumed to be February 7, 2007 since no official construction date was given. The cast-in-place concrete system took approximately 710 days to complete, assuming three crews worked eight hours a day, five days a week. The full schedule for the concrete system can be found in Appendix K. The steel system only took 189 days, assuming one crew worked eight hours a day, five days a week. The schedule for the steel system is located in Appendix L. The cast-in-place concrete system took about four times longer than the proposed steel system. This extreme difference in time reflects the cost difference between the two systems. Hand calculations to determine the amount of days each component took is located in Appendix M.

Conclusion

Based on the cost data above, the new steel system is more cost effective than the original concrete system. This reduction in cost is impacted by the amount of time it takes to erect both structures. As shown in the schedule analysis, the steel system requires about a

quarter of the amount of time the concrete system takes. Therefore, the proposed steel system is a cost effective alternative compared to the cast-in-place system.

Conclusion

Oklahoma University Children's Medical Office Building was designed using a cast-in-place reinforced concrete structural system. This system is a two-way flat plate system that has drop panels located at interior columns and perimeter beams located along the exterior of the building. The lateral system is comprised of cast-in-place reinforced concrete shear walls that are located in the stairwells along the northern face of the building as well as the southwestern corner. Shear walls are also located in elevator shafts in the southeast corner and southwest corner. Two shear walls are located in the interior of the floor plan.

The structural redesign utilizes composite steel beams and girders with composite floor decking. The roof is comprised of K series joists with wide flange girders. The lateral system uses concentrically braced frames located at the shear wall locations to resist the lateral loads. Since the brace frames are not as stiff as the shear walls, additional moment frames had to be designed to carry the additional lateral loads. These frames are located along the eastern wall.

In addition to the structural depth, two breadths where conducted. The first breadth is a green roof breadth that studied an extensive green roof. Several different plants where researched that provide some color to the roof while requiring low maintenance and good drought tolerance. The typical green roof assembly was also researched to provide the best materials that will provide the lowest cost and weight on the structure.

The second breadth topic is a cost and schedule analysis. The original system has a total cost of about \$9,050,000.00 while the steel system has a cost of about \$5,100,000.00. The amount of time that the concrete system took to construct is 710 days. The steel system only took a quarter of the time at 189 days. The steel system proved to be the most cost effective while reducing construction times.

Appendix A





Appendix B

Appendix B B-1 Lateral Loads Wind (East-West Direction) Directional Procedure Risk catagory I V=90 mph Hd=0.85 Exposure Lategory B Topographic Factor METELO (no nearby hill, ridge, or escarpment) Determine Fundamental Frequency Ta= LT hn = 0.02- (76)0.75 = 0.966 (1= 0.02 hn= 176° x=0.75 Tat ta 0.966= 1 na= 1.04 " considered rigid bust Effect Factor $C = 0.925 \left(\frac{U + 17ga I = Q}{1 + 1.7gv I =} \right)$ Iz= c(33/2)1/4= 0.30(33/99.6)1/4= 0.25 2=0.6:166=99.6 ga= 3.4 gv= 3.4 1043 = 0.706 Q=1 1+0.63 (252+164) 462.45 1+0.63 (B+h) L= 320 ==99.6 T=1/3

	B-2
$ \begin{array}{c} \mathcal{L} = 0.925 \left(\frac{11+1.7 \cdot 3.4 \cdot 0.25 \cdot 0.766}{1+1.7 \cdot 3.4 \cdot 0.25} \right) = 0.808 \\ \\ \text{Building is Endowed} \\ \mathcal{L}(p) = \frac{1}{2} 6.10 \\ \\ = \text{ind } K_2 \\ \hline \text{for } = 415ft, \ K_2 = 2.01(15/124)^{21/4} \\ \text{for } 15ft + 2 + 24, \ K = 2.01(15/124)^{21/4} \\ \hline \frac{2}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{7.0} \\ = \frac{1}{7.0} \\ \hline \frac{2}{6} \cdot \frac{1}{5} \\ \frac{1}{7.0} \\ 0.575 \\ 14' \\ 0.675 \\ 14' \\ 0.632 \\ 12' \\ 0.667 \\ 55' \\ 0.732 \\ 47' \\ 0.575 \\ 14' \\ 0.675 \\ 14' \\ 0.675 \\ 14' \\ 0.675 \\ 14' \\ 0.675 \\ 14' \\ 0.635 \\ 14' \\ 0.675 \\ 14' \\ 0.635 \\ 10' $	
ind gz gz=0.00256 Hz hzt kd V ² I	

					B-3
anne	2 Kz 0' 0.575 7' 0.575 14' 0.579 24' 0.435 28' 0.435 28' 0.435 35' 0.732 42' 0.771 41' 0.804 70' 0.817 43' 0.837 43' 0.837 43' 0.837 43' 0.844 70'	$\begin{array}{c c} & \underline{0:z} & \underline{(p;f)} \\ \hline 0:00254 \cdot 0:4 \\ \hline 0:13 \\ \hline 10:13 \\ \hline 10:13 \\ \hline 10:13 \\ \hline 11:14 \\ \hline 12:11 \\ \hline 12:11 \\ \hline 12:10 \\ \hline 13:59 \\ \hline 14:21 \\ \hline 14:75 \\ \hline 15:72 \\ \hline 14:75 \\ \hline 15:72 \\ \hline 14:75 \\ \hline 15:72 \\ \hline 14:71 \\ \hline 14:75 \\ \hline 15:72 \\ \hline 16:11 \\ \hline 17:11 \\ \hline 17:41 \\ \hline 17:41 \\ \hline 17:42 \\ \hline 17:41 \\ \hline 17:42 \\ \hline 17:42 \\ \hline 18:00 \end{array}$	575 1.0 0.8	5.90 ² .10=10.13	B-3
	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	10.00 16.26 16.52 16.77 19.02 19.23 19.71 19.71 19.92 20.13 (6Cp;)			
	Cp-Leward w L/B=152/25 Cp-Side wall - Cp:roof h/L=166/19 fiom O'to -> arca r From 83'to	all - 0,50 b2 = 0.539 $c_{0.70}$ b2 = 1.09 = 1.0 $b3' \Rightarrow (p = -1.3)$ eduction - $b3$. $152' \Rightarrow (p = -0)$	152 = 12616 7 7	1000 - 0.8	

							(3-1
	gi = gh= = (6 (pi)= ± Paropets	20.13 0.15						
	Pp=qp66	pn						
	0 p=2027 0 p=2027	1.0=3	36.49 w -22.3 1	ind word		Vit Pore	S	
5	Location	2	0	guep	AILUCP:)	+ GCpi	-GCPi	
Annan	windward	0'7'4'21' 28'5'3'5'4'4'5'5'3'5'4'4'5'5'5'5'5'5'5'5'5	10,13 10,13 10,13 11,11 12,90 13,24 14,211	4.55 4.55 4.55 7.21 7.83 9.78 9.78 9.78 9.78 9.78 9.78 9.78 9.78 9.78 9.78 9.78 9.78 9.78 9.78 10.44 10.86 11.064 11.064 11.064 11.064 11.064 11.064 11.064 11.064 11.064 11.064 11.064 11.064 11.064 11.064 11.07 12.13 12.79	1 + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + + +	10.17 10.17 10.17 10.17 10.03 11.45 11.45 11.46 12.40 12.40 12.40 12.40 13.15 13.70 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.26 14.40 14.57 15.54 15.54 15.54 15.54 15.55 15.54 15.55 15.54 15.55 15.54 15.55 15.54 15.55 15.54 15.55 15.54 15.55 15.54 15.55 15.54 15.55 15.54 15.55 15.54 15.55 15.54 15.55	2,93 2,93 2,93 3,97 4,71 5,59 4,71 5,59 4,70 4,70 7,70 8,88 8,51 7,24 8,55 8,57 2,29 7,77 7,70 8,88 8,51 7,24 8,59 8,59 7,24 7,70 2,49 3,59 7,21 7,01 5,59 1,27 2,93 5,59 1,77 4,77 2,93 5,59 1,77 4,77 2,93 5,59 1,77 4,77 2,93 5,59 1,77 4,77 2,93 5,59 1,77 4,77 2,93 5,59 1,77 4,77 2,93 5,59 1,77 4,77 2,93 5,59 1,77 4,77 2,93 5,59 1,77 4,77 2,93 7,77 2,93 7,77 4,77 2,93 7,77 2,77 2,77 2,93 8,59 7,77 2,77 2,77 2,77 2,77 2,77 2,77 2,7	
	leeword Side wall Root (0'4023) Root (03 to 152)	I le le' I le le' I le le' I le le'	20.13 20.13 20.13 20.13 20.13	6.13 -11.39 -21.14 -11.39	+3.62 +3.62 +3.62 +3.62 +3.62	11.75 -7.77 -17.62 -7.77	4,51 -15.01 -24.74 -15.01	
							ie L	
					- 1	₹		



April 9, 2014



	в-7
	Wind (North - South Direction)
	Analyitical Procedure
	Rish Catagory - II V=90 mph hd=0.35 Exposure category B
	Topographic factor
5	hat = 1.0 (no nearby hill, ridge, or escarpment)
- Aller	Determine Fundamental Frequency
N	Ta = L+ hn = 0.02 (176)0.75= 0.966
	$L_{1} = 0.02$ $h_{1} = 176$ k = 0.75
	Ta= ha 0.916= ha na= 1.04 : considered rigid
~	Lust Effect Factor
	$C = 0.925 \left(\frac{U + L7_{00} I = 0}{1 + L7_{0} I = 2} \right)$
	$I = c (33/2)^{4u} = 0.30 (33/99.4)^{1/u} = 0.25$
	$C = 0.30$ $E = 0.6 \cdot 166 = 99.6$ $g_{0} = 3.9$ $g_{v} = 3.9$ $Q = \int \frac{1}{152 \times 16.6} e^{-0.63} = 0.817$ $\int \frac{152 \times 16.6}{152 \times 16.6} e^{-0.63} = 0.817$
	$B = 152.$ $h = 164.$ $L = 2 \left(\frac{1}{2} / 33 \right)^{2} = 320 \cdot \left(\frac{99.4}{33} \right)^{1/3} = \frac{962.45}{1}$
	l = 320 $\Xi = 99.6$ $\overline{z} = 1/3$

and strate the state of the sta		B-6	
2	$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= 0.925 \Big(\underbrace{ \lfloor 1 + 1.7 \cdot 3.4 \cdot 0.25 \cdot 0.917 }_{1 + 1.7 \cdot 3.4 \cdot 0.25} \Big) = 0.825 \\ & \text{Building is Enclosed} \\ & \mathcal{L}_{\text{pi}} = \pm 0.18 \end{aligned}$ Find $h \neq 1$ for $\pm 1.15ft$, $h \neq = 2.01 \left(\frac{15}{29} \right)^{2/4} = \frac{29 - 1200}{4 = 7.0} \\ & \text{For } 15ft + 2 \pm 2g$, $h \neq = 2.01 \left(\frac{1}{2} / \frac{2}{29} \right)^{2/4} = \frac{29 - 1200}{4 = 7.0} \end{aligned}$		
Anna	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		
	Find QZ QZ= 0.00256 Hzkatkd VZI		

		B-9
	7 ha an (act)	
anand	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	
	$p = q \cup (p - q; l \cup cp;)$	
	g=g= @ =	
	6p=0.825	
	Cp-windward wall-0.80	
	Lp-leeward wall=0.328 L/B= 282/152= 1.86	
	Lp-side wall - 0.70	
	Cp:roof h/L=104/202=0.509	
	from 0' to 83' -> (p= -0.972 from 03' to 166' -> (p= -0.864) From 166' to 282-> (p= -0.836	

gi=gh=	+ 0.13					
(v Cpij-	- 0110					
Parapets						
Pp=qpb	Cpn					
9p= 20 9p= 20	.27 . 1.9)= 36 1=-2	. 49 wind 2.3 Lec	ward		
Davet	-	0	ALIP	1011100	Net Press	ure
Location	0'	10.13	lerleg	= 3.6Z	10.31	3.67
	7.	10.13	0.69	\$ 3.62	10.31	3.07
	21'	10:13	7.37	+3.62	10.31	3.0/
	28	12.11	7.99	± 3.6Z	11.61	4.37
	35'	12.90	8.51	13.42	12,13	4.89
	Uq!	13.21	9.38	13.67	13.00	5.76
	56	14.75	9.74	± 3.42	13.36	Geilz
	63	15.26	10.07	±3.62	13,69	6.45
	71.	12.12	10.50	+ 3.4Z	14.25	701
Windward	82"	16.46	10.86	±3.62	14.40	7.24
	an an	14.60	11.09	13.42	14.71	7.47
	100	17.4	11.49	+3.42	19.71	7.87
	106'	17.63	11.64	\$ 3.6Z	15.26	0.02
	112	18.00	11.88	13.62	15.50	8.26
	124	18.52	12.77	13.67	15.89	8.60
	130'	18.77	12.39	13.62	16.01	8.77
	136	19.02	12.55	23.62	1617	8.93
	148	19.46	12.80	13.62	16.51	9,24
	159	19.71	13.01	13.62	14.43	9.39
	160	19.92	13.15	13.62	16.77	9.53
Heward	1.1.2	20.13	- 5.45	13.62	9.07	1.8.2
Side wall	166	20,13	-11.63	± 3.42	-8.01	-15.25
Roof (0'-83')	lele	20:13	-14.14	\$ 30le2	-12.52	-19.76
Rept Md - Use	11.11	20,13	-14.35	13.42	-10.73	-17.17
Lange and and	A BLEAK		0.10	and the second se	-120	12:32



April 9, 2014



Appendix C

Appendix C Seismic Loads Site Class L - From breakchnical Report - soft rock conditions 55-0.405A 51-0.089A Sms - 0,490g Sm1 - 0.152A Sos-0.3278 501-0.1019 Seismic Design category 0.167g = 505 60, 33g -> Lategord B > line 0.067g 2501 40.133g -> Laterory B Use Equivalent Latival Force Procedure Ordinary concentric Braced Frames R= 3. 25, Ro=2, 60=3.25, Ordinary Moment Frances - R=3.5, 20= 3, 1d = 3 condicals & Note - seismic details must contain to ASCET-10 14.1 Je=1.0 Ta= Cthn X $L_{t=0.02}$ x=0.75 hn=166ft Ta=0.02.1660.75=0.925 secs, T= 1.569 secs $(5 = \frac{5}{502} = \frac{0.327}{1.0} = 0.101$ $C_{S} = \frac{S_{D1}}{T(\frac{R}{T_{e}})} = \frac{0.101}{1.569(\frac{3.25}{1.0})} = 0.02$ (5= 6.044 5ps I= 0.044.6.327 .1.0= 0.0144 2 0.01 (5=0.02°

	Eurhin Eurhin							
	H= 1.50	9-0.5	12-1)+1= 1.53				
	V=CS W= 22300.0.02= 446 hips							
	Level Roof	hx 106	144	Wxhy H 359026	LUX 1 GUBS	F x	Vx GO.Z	
MAMA	12	154	154	342314	0.129	57.5	117.7	
	99	118	154	242294 235620	0.099	44.2	219,9 243.6 303.3	
	16	82	154 154	208944	0.078	34.8	338.1 368.4	
	21.47	54	154	135598	0.050	25.9	39913 415.3 420 0	
	17	28	154	62239	0.023 0.012	10.3	441.2	
								•

Appendix D

Appendix D 0-1 alternate System Composite Steel (Floor) Loading Live Lood office = 50+20=70 pst corridor=80 psf -> used for building flexibility Read Load carpet with pad - 2pst superimposed Dead Load - 15 pst CINEWA 3 spaces @ 5'-8"====2le Determine Deck Size Llomposite w/ LW concrete) use 31/4" LW concrete For 2 Hr fire rating -use unshored construction for more economical design Try 1.5. VLR19 -3 spon construction - 91-917 81-5" OK superimposed Load - 97pst Superimposed Line Load for 9:0" spen- 197psf 197797 . OK - Use IS VLR 19 gerage with 3 1/4" LW topping Determine Beam Size Loads Live Lord - BO pst (reducable)

April 9, 2014



	D-3
	- assume 3/4" stad
	IF a = 4, 4= 4175 - 1/2= 4125" Try 415"
	heart W14x 22 2 Qn = 157 = 157 = 9.18 =7 10 x 2 = 20 studs/bien mart DMn = 218 ft. h 171 = 904 #
	economial W 12x26 20n= 116 = 116 = 6.78=>7x2=14 studs/bean BMn= 210 ft.k 17.1 = 972 #
204	V12x22 EQn= 196 = 194 - 11,46=>12x2=24 stubs/beam ØMn=214 frik 17:1 = 944
Ann	W16x26 $\xi_{Qn} = 96 = 96 = 5.61 = -5.$
	a= zan 0.85 Fcbatt
	$blat = min \left \frac{32 \cdot 12}{8} = 48 + min \right \frac{32 \cdot 12}{8} = 48$
	<u>2.67.12 = 52.02</u> <u>3.67-12 = 52.02</u>
	$be \mathcal{F} = 48 - 48 = 96 in$
	a = 157 0.05. 4.96 = 0.401 - partual = 4.75 - 0.401 = 4.51
	422 472 5.0" Ear = 157 =7 20 studs/blem QAn = 223
	check Unshored strength
	$W14x22$, $BMn = 218ft \cdot 4$
	wu= 1.4 (41) (8.67) + 1.4(22) = 0.528 klf
	wu= 1.2[(41)(8.67)+ 22] + 1.6(20)(8.67)=0.730 WLF
	Mu: 0.730 · 322 = 93.44 A.H L 218 FL·H = OH for unshord Construction
	Check wet concrete Deflection.
	Wwc= 41 (0.60)+22= 0.377 Hlf

	0-01
_	Dwc= 5(0.377)(32)4(1720) = 1.54 in
	Awcmax= 32.12 - 1.6 in > 1.54 in toth but should charles
	Check Live Load Deflection
	WLL = 70.96 . 8.67 = 0.415 klf
	ILB@ y= 5,0 and 200 = 157
Chelly	ILB = 496
×	$\Delta_{LL} = \frac{5(0.4.15)(32)^4(1726)}{384(24000)(494)} = 1.01 \text{ in}$
	$\Delta LL max = \frac{1}{340} = \frac{32.12}{340} = \frac{107 \text{ in } 71.01 \text{ in } Oh but should chamber } 0.801.01] = 1^{11}$
	Use W14x22 with 20 study por beam with a 1"
	Determine birder Size
~	Load
	Live Load - 80 pst (reduceable)
	$L = Lo\left(0.25 + 15 \\ \sqrt{Kul At}\right) \ge 0.5 Lo$
	=80 (0.25 + 15 (24.32.2) = 80 • 0.48 = 49.44 pst
	Dead Load
	Dech - 41 pst larpet with ped - 2 pst beam self weight allowance - 5 pst wirder self weight allowance - 2 pst Superimposed dead Load - 15 pst
-	ww=1,2(45)+1.4(49.44)=157.1
-	Pu= 157, (8.67) (32) = 43.59 h

D-5 shear and Moment Diagrams 43.59K 43.59A 377.93 A.h Destermine Other and Ean Use Table 3-19 -assume file= 1000 psi -assume y=5" -assume deckis parallel -assume tweak stud per ris -assume 3/4" stud -assume lated per toot y= 5.0" W 16x31 20n-335 = 535 = 19x2 = 30 stude per girdr BMn=397 hit 183 = 1186 # W16x40 200= 148 = 0.1-290-2= 16 studs per girder OMn= 391 201 103 = 1220 0 W14x30 2an = 299 = 16.3= 17 +2= 34 studs per girder OMn= 404 Kift 15.3 = 13.28 = 13.28 = Check unshored strength Au=[1.2[(1.0.67)+31]+1.6(20.0.67)]32=23.72k Mu=23.724.8.67=205.7 A.H (397 H.A Oh thech Line Load Deflection ALL = 13.72 ·263-17 20 = 0.503 in 20 · 29000 · 1020 = 0.503 in ALL MOX = L = 24.12 = 0.867 in 7 0.503 in :- 04 360 360 [Use WIAX31 with 38 study

Appendix E

appendix E E-1 alternate system: steel Joists (Roof) Loading Live Load Roof Live Load - 20 pst (unreducable) Dead Loads - Creen Roof - assuming 30 psf (Materials to be determined - motal Neck- 3net as part of preadth) - hetal beech-3pst -super imposed Dead Load- 15pst - rigid insulation - 2pst Shour Load - 10 pot 32' 4 spaces @ 4'-4"= 24' - Total load - 70 = V+L Use 1.5 B deck -max construction span => B22-6-H" -max load > B 22 = 74 > 70 pot - may Load for A -> B22 = 76 - 240 = 101.3 psf = 7.0 pst Use I.S.B 22 guage deching Determie Joist Size wetl = [1.2 (50) + h ((20)] a. S. fr = 598. plf. + 1.2 - joint wt wel = (50+20)(le-5) = 455 plf + joist wt

April 9, 2014



Appendix F

Appendix F F-1 Gravity Column Check. check Lohumn P. Le, 6 . area: 23 ft. 32 ft= 73 le ft= Load ing Dead load Dech - 41 pst Carpet with pad - 2 pst Superimposed dead load - 15 pst beam self weight allowance - 5 pst column self weight allowance - 2 pst column self weight allowance - 1 pst Helicopta pad - 60 pst Live Load = 80 (0.25 + 15) = 80.0.526 = 42.00 52944 = 400 Show Load 10 psf Roof Live Load 20 psf Dead Load Roof - breen Roof - 30 pst - Metal Deck- 3pst - Superimposed Brad Load - 15pst - rigid insulation - 2pst Roof -1.2.50 +1.4.20 = 92 psf Floor -1.2.64+1.6.42.08=146.5 psf Pu= 736.92 + 736.146.5.12 = 1361.6 hips KL= 14 W14x132 - @ Pm= 1510 Kips > 136116 Kips 1. 0K

Appendix G

	6-7
	$\Delta_{j}^{2} = \frac{5w_{j}L_{j}^{4}}{3846s_{j}} = \frac{5:507.5\cdot32^{4}\cdot1728}{384\cdot29000000\cdot783.60} = 0.527.5$
	$\Delta q = \frac{5 \log L q^{4}}{389 Es I q}$
	girder properties
	$f = 9.13 \text{ in}^2$ $Ix = 3.75 \text{ in}^4$ d = 15.9 in
QVAIV	0,4Lg=0,4.26.12=124,0in L Lj=32.12=384in
- th	$\overline{y} = \frac{9,13(0.75 + 15.9/2) - (125/8.92)(4)(4/2)}{9,13 + (125/8.92)(4)}$
	= - 0.501 in above top of form deck
	$I_{4} = 375 + 9.13(0.75 + 15.9/2 + 0.501)^{2} + (125/8.92)(4)^{3}/12 + (125/8.92)(4)(-0.501 + 4/2)^{2}$
	= 1340.6 in 4
_	wg=Lj(wils)-self weight
	= 32(507.5/8.67) + 3] = 1904,13 plf
	$Ag = \frac{5 \cdot 1904.13 \cdot 26.4 \cdot 1728}{364 \cdot 29000000 \cdot 1348.6} = 0.5 in$
	Fn=0.18 201.4 _ 3.49 Hz
	W= Di Aj + Ag Wi + Ag Wg
	Wj= LS[Wj/S](BjLj)
-	$B_{\tilde{d}} = C_{\tilde{d}} \left(D_{\tilde{d}} / D_{\tilde{d}} \right)^{V_{11}} L_{\tilde{d}}$
	(j=2.0
-	Ds= 12de=1(12n)=12.(4)=1(12.8.92)=7.17in4/A
	Dj= Ii15= 783.48/8.67= 90.39 in 4/14
	Bi= 2.0 (7.17/90.39) 14.32 = 33.96 ft 276ft



Appendix G 70

Appendix H

appendix H	H-1	
Brace Frame Check.	1	
column: W12×10le		
Ix=933 in"		
Controlling Load Care .		
1.2D+0.5L+1.0W		
Loads		
Pu= 923,14 h Mux Lotton = 0.0 Mux lop= 9.14 h-ft		
Mr = Bi Mnt + B2 Met		
$B_1 = \underline{Cm} = 2 1$ $1 - \underline{aPc}$ Fet		
CM= 0.6-0.4 (0/9.14)		
Cm=0.le		
a=1.0		
$Pel = \frac{\pi^2 EI}{ kL ^2} = \frac{\pi^2 (0.8)(29000)(733)}{(1.0.141(7)^2)} = 7569.2 \text{ M}$		
Pr=923.19 k		
Bi=0.4 ≥1		
1-1.0-923.14 7569.2		
=0.663 z 1 2. leg 1.0		
B2= Z1		
1- a Pertony Restang		
a=1.0		
Patory= 37,600 K restory= Rm HL		
41		
[OKLAHOMA UNIVERSITY CHILDREN'S MEDICAL April 9, 2014 **OFFICE BUILDING FINAL REPORT**]

	H-2	
	Rm= 1-0.15 Pmf	
	Pwf= 1527	
	$R_{m} = 1 - 0.15 - \frac{152.7}{39,600} = 0.994$	
	H= 1.0 W W= 390.58	
"Oral	H= 390.55 AH=0.0872in	
	$P_{cstory} = 0.994 \circ \left(\frac{390.50 \cdot 14 \cdot 12}{0.0872}\right) = 747979$ $B_2 = \frac{1}{1 - \left(\frac{1 \cdot 39.500}{747979}\right)} = 1.06 \times 1$	
	$M_{1} = 1.0(0.0) + 1.06 - 9.14$	
	= aiga k. ft	
	$Pr = P_{11} + B_{-} P_{1+} = 923.14 + 1,000(0)$	
	= 923.14	
	PC= 1130 h MCK= 615 K-Ft	
	$\frac{P_{r}}{P_{c}} = \frac{923.14}{1130} = 0.817 = 0.2$	
	$\frac{\Pr}{\Pr} \neq \left(\frac{\varphi}{q}\right) \left(\frac{M(x)}{Mex}\right) \leq 1.0$	
	923,14 + (9) (9,69) = 0.831 = 1.0: OK RAM output = 0.829	
	Been: w18x40	
	Mux = 64.59 K. H [From RAM output)	
	OMP= 294 K-Ft > 84.54 K-Ft : OK.	

H-3 Check unbraced length Lb= 20.10 ft DMn= 103 K-Ft for unknowed length 103 K-A = 84.59 K-A Brace: HS5 8x8x 5/14 KL= 27.0 Ft Lowtrolling Load Combination 0.96-1.0W Pu= 79.96 Wips (From RAM output) OPn=167 Mips = 79.94 Mips : OH

Appendix I

appendix I 1-1 Moment Frame Check Column: W12 x 136 [x=1240 in4 controlling Load case 1.447 6+0.5L+ 1.0 Re Loads Pu= 1174 h Musotan= 0.00 h-ft Muxtop= -43.12 h-ft Mr= B1 Mn+ B2 ML+ $B_1 = \frac{Cm}{1 - \frac{\alpha}{P_{el}}} = \frac{2}{P_{el}}$ (m=0.4-0.4 (Mpottom / Morp) =0.4-0.4 (0/-43.99) =0.4-0.4(0) = 0.6 a=1.0 $Pel = \frac{\pi^{2}EI}{(k,L)^{2}} = \frac{\pi^{2}(0.8)(29000)(1240)}{(1.0.14\cdot 12)^{2}} = 10,060 \text{ Kips}$ Pr= 1174 K B1= 0.4 Z 1 1-1.0.1174 10,000 = 0.479 Z 1.0 -. Use 1.0 B2= 1 2 1 1- 0 Postory Peshory a=1.0 Pstory= 39,800 k Pestory= RM HL SH Rm= 1-0.15 Pmf Potory

[OKLAHOMA UNIVERSITY CHILDREN'S MEDICAL April 9, 2014 **OFFICE BUILDING FINAL REPORT**]

		I-2	
	Pmf=5400K.		
	Rm=1-0,15.5460 0.979		
	H=10 Qe Qe = 358.32		•
	H= 350,32 AH=0,1340		
	Prestory = 0,979. (358.32.14.12) = 433335 h.		
. An	$B_{Z} = \frac{2}{1 - (1 \cdot 39,900)} = 2$		
	Mr=1.0. (0.0) + 1.10 . 43.12		
	= 47.43 Hip- Ft		
	Pr= Put + B2 Pet		
	= 1174 + 1.10 . 0.0		
	= 1174		
	PL= 1460 4 MLx=803 h-Ft		
	$P_{r} = \frac{1174}{1400} = 0.80420.2$		
	$\frac{P_{c}}{A_{c}} + \frac{P_{c}}{P_{c}} \left(\frac{M_{c_{X}}}{M_{c_{X}}} \right) \leq 1.0$		
	1174 + (3) (47.43) = 0.857 × 1.0 = 0k RAM ow	f put = 0.840	
	Beam = W 24 x 55		
	B2= 1,10, below B2= 1.10 above		
	Mind = 88.30 H- Ft Mine = 37.37 K-Ft Meg = 27.61 H-Ft		

April 9, 2014

1-3 Controlling Load combination 1.467D+0.52 +1.00e B2 Mut= 1.10 . 27.61=30.374.17 Mu= 1.467.80.30+0.5.37.37+1.0.30.37= 178.59 4.A Cb= 2.53 @ Mn = 503 H-F+ Q.Mn for Lb= 10.0ft= 386 K-ft OMn= 3864. ft . 2.53= 970.50 H-ft = 503 4-ft 8 Mm= 503 K-ft 7 178:59 11-Ft = OK

Appendix J

rooflite Certified Green Roof Media



Because rooftop gardens are living systems, Skyland can only guarantee their products to meet all of the standards of the FLL* and/or ASTM at the time of delivery. Therefore any claim of potential non-compliance must be at this time. All warranty claims made subsequent to the delivery of the product will not be honored. Additionally, nutrients of newly blended products may temporarily exceed upper limits. Consistent nutrient values will typically be achieved soon after installation. All Density Measurements reflect typical ranges for the respective rooflite products. For more detailed information please refer to our region specific analysis or inquire about latest test results.

If Air-filled Porosity is measured instead of being determined according the FLL Green Roofing Guidelines reference value may be

The details contained in these specifications correspond with Skyland USA's technical knowledge at the time of publication. Skyland USA, LLC reserves the right to update and adjust performance specifications from time to time in accordance with new insight and to modify the named properties of the

below 10.

product.

Supplier:

2008

1.877.268.0017

*Forschungsgeseilschaft Landschaftsentwicklung Landschaftsbau e.V. (FLL) Landscape Development and Landscaping Research Society,

rooflite® extensive mcl

A very light growing medium for extensive green roof systems with a separate drainage course or a synthetic drainage layer. rooflite* extensive mcl is a precisely balanced blend of light weight mineral aggregates like HydRocks* or pumice and premium organic components like USCC STA approved compost complying with the following requirements: Portfole Size Dirichloution

ALL AND

Puroce size discribution		
Proportion of silting components < 0.063 mm	Mass %	≤ 10
Proportion of particles < 0.25 mm 60 mesh	Mass %	5 - 20
Proportion of particles < 1.00 mm 18 mesh	Mass %	10 - 40
Proportion of particles < 2.00 mm 10 mesh	Mass %	30 - 50
Proportion of particles < 3.20 mm 1/8 inch	Mass %	40 - 70
Proportion of particles < 6.30 mm 1/4 inch	Mass %	65 • 95
Proportion of particles < 9.50 mm 3/8 inch	Mass %	80 - 100
Proportion of particles < 12.50 mm 1/2 inch	Mass %	100
Density Measurements		
Bulk Density (dry weight basis)	g/cm ^a	0.55 • 0.80
Bulk Density (dry weight basis)	lb/ft ^a	35 • 50
Bulk Density (at max. water-holding capacity)	g/cm ³	1.05 - 1.15
Bulk Density (at max. water-holding capacity)	lb/ft ^a	66 - 72
Water/Air Measurements		
Total Pore Volume	Vol. %	≥60
Maximum water-holding capacity	Vol. %	35 = 65
Air-filled porosity at max water-holding capacity	Vol. %	<u>≥</u> 10
Water permeability (saturated hydraulic conductivity)	cm/sec	0.001 - 0.12
Water permeability (saturated hydraulic conductivity)	in/min	0.024 = 2.83
pH and Salt Content		
pH (in CaCl ₂)		6.0 - 8.5
Soluble salts (water, 1:10, m:v)	g (KCI)/L	< 3.5
Organic Measurements		
Organic matter content	8/L	25 - 60
Nutrients		
Phosphorus, P205 (CAL)	mg/L	≤ 200
Potassium, K2O (CAL)	mg/L	<u><</u> 700
Magnesium, Mg (CaCl2)	mg/L	<u><</u> 200
Nitrate + Ammonium (CaCl2)	mg/L	≤80
All values are based on compacted materials accarding to lai methods defined by the Forschungsgesellschaft Landschafts Landscape Development and Landscaping Research Society, Construction and Maintenance of Green-Roofing, Green Rooj	boratory stand intwicklung Lar Guidelines for fing Guideline,	ards and testing ndschaftsbau e.V. (FLL) the Planning 2008

OSkyland USA LLC, March 2014

Please find your regional supplier at www.roofitesoil.com or call

www.rooflitesoil.com

V3/14



Lightweight Green Roofs



For huge building structures with wide construction spans roof loads are often very limited. Typical green roof systems for these kinds of buildings consist of a synthetic drainage in combination with a rather shallow layer of lightweight growth media and Sedum blankets. These systems work best if all components are fine-tuned for optimized performance. As for the growth media, it is important to

consider that Sedum blankets already contain a fair amount of organic matter and particle Fines, and that the vegetation provides instant coverage. Depending on the region, irrigation may be necessary, particularly if the system design specifies less than four inches of growing media.

rooflite[®] extensive mcl

An extra-light growing media designed for extensive green roof systems in multilayer construction. Typical systems are a combination of a synthetic drainage layer with a high performance media layer. rooflite extensive mcl is the ideal substrate for the placement of vegetation blankets or pre-vegetated mats.



www.rooflitesoil.com

V3/14

() greenroofsolutions

4336 Regency Drive Glenview, IL 60025

866.675.9963 847.297.7936 www.greenroofsolutions.com

Product Data

Non-recycled polypropylene staple fiber, needle punched nonwoven geotextile

Roll sizes: 6.25' × 200' (1200 sq ft) 6.25' × 360' (2250 sq ft) 12.5' × 360' (4500 sq ft)

Made in USA



Filter Fabric

Filter Fabric is designed to separate the growing media from the drainage system on vegetative green roofs. Polypropylene fibers are needled to filter fabric for a stable network that retains dimensional stability relative to one another. The fabric is resistant to degradation from UV exposure, as well as the biological and chemical environments found in soil.

	FF35	Unit	Test Method
Grab Tensile Strength	90	lbs	ASTM D-4632
Elongation	50	%	ASTM D-4632
Trapezoid Tear	40	lbs	ASTM D-4533
CBR Puncture	265	lbs	ASTM D-6241
UV Stability	70	% at 500 hrs	ASTM D-4355
Permitivity	2.1	sec	ASTM D-4491
Water Flow Rate	150	gpm/sq ft	ASTM D-4491
A.O.S.	70	US Sieve #	ASTM D-4751
A.O.S.	0.212	mm	ASTM D-4751
Weight	0.024	lbs/sq ft	ASTM D-5261
Thickness	0.05	in	ASTM D-5199

() greenroofsolutions

4336 Regency Drive Glenview, IL 60025

866.675.9963 847.297.7936 www.greenroofsolutions.com

Product Data

Made of 100% Recycled HDPP

Compatible with various roofing membranes

Patent Pending





GRS 32 Drainage Panel

The GRS 32 is designed to be a water retention and drainage component suitable for intensive and extensive green roof systems.

Water flows through the entire panel via reservoir cups and channels to assure uniform distribution.

Rounded edges on bottom of panels prevent roof damage. Panels snap to lock together for fast, easy installation.

Technical Data

Size	24" × 24" × 1 1/4"
Weight	
Dry	0.6 lbs/sf
Including Water	1.93 lbs/sf
Water Capacity	0.165 gal/sf
Material	100% recycled HDPE (color black - shade may
Working Temp	-40°F to 212°F





Kemperol 2K-PUR is a solvent-free, fleece-reinforced and liquid-applied waterproofing system based on polyurethane resin. The odor-free product can be used universally on roof decks, roof gardens, plazas, balconies, terraces and also for indoor areas such as bath rooms, catering kitchens and plant rooms.

It is the ideal waterproofing system for sensitive areas such as nurseries, hospitals, schools or senior citizens' homes. It is not only a solvent-free product, but 80% of the polyalcohols (resins) are obtained from renewable raw materials. Kemperol 2K-PUR is root and rot resistant according to FLL testing and offers a proven performance for 25 years.

Typical Physical Properties

PROPERTY	VALUE
Color	yellow-gray
Physical State	cures to solid
Thickness (165 fleece)	70 mils
VOC Content	6 g/l
Tensile Strength @ Break	120 lb/in
Elongation	50 %
Tear Resistance	5.0 lbs
Puncture Resistance	150 lbf
Dimensional Stability	0.1 %
Water Vapor Transmission	0.04 perms
Water Absorption	2.2 %
Impact Resistance	Shore A:85
Usage Time*	30 minutes
Water resistant after*	2 hours
Solid to walk on after*	48 hours
Can be driven on after*	48 hours
Apply surfacing/coating after*	16-48 hours
Apply overburden after*	2 days
Completely hardened after*	3 days
Crack Spanning	2 mm/0.08 inch
Short-term temperature resistance	250 °C/ 482 °F

IOKLAHOMA UNIVERSITY CHILDREN'S MEDICAL OFFICE BUILDING FINAL REPORT

PRODUCT INFORMATION . COMMERCIAL . US/CANADA



STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD 40, 60 AND 100 EXTRUDED POLYSTYRENE INSULATION

1. PRODUCT NAME STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD Extruded Polystyrene Foam Insulation

2. MANUFACTURER

The Dow Chemical Company **Dow Building Solutions** 200 Larkin Midland, MI 48674 1-866-583-BLUE (2583) Fax 1-989-832-1465

Dow Chemical Canada ULC Dow Building Solutions 450 – 1st St. SW, Suite 2100 Calgary, AB T2P 5H1 1-866-583-BLUE (2583) (English) 1-800-363-6210 (French)

www.dowbuildingsolutions.com

3. PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD Extruded Polystyrene Foam Insulation is a closed-cell foam insulation. Available in compressive strengths of 40, 60 and 100 psi (275, 415 and 690 kPa), STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD insulation features exceptional moisture resistance and R-value* retention. All three STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD insulation products resist compressive creep and fatigue, delivering long-term compressive strength. Like all STYROFOAM™ insulation products, STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD 40, 60 and 100 are durable, versatile and reusable making them a preferred choice for a variety of high-load applications.

BASIC USE

STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD insulation is designed for use in low-temperature (freezer floor) applications, highways, airport runways, bridge abutments, parking decks, utility lines, ice rinks and

plaza decks. It is the responsibility of the designer to select the proper STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD insulation product based on the dead and live loads expected in the application.

4. TECHNICAL DATA APPLICABLE STANDARDS STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD 40. 60 and 100 insulation meets ASTM C578 - Standard Specification for Rigid Cellular Polystyrene Thermal Insulation. Applicable ASTM standards include:

- C518 Standard Test Method for Steady-State Thermal Transmission Properties by Means of the Heat Flow Meter Apparatus
- C177 Standard Test Method for Steady-State Heat Flux Measurements and Thermal Transmission Properties by Means of the Guarded-Hot-Plate Apparatus
- D1621 Standard Test Method for Compressive Properties of

- Rigid Cellular Plastics D2842 Standard Test Method for Water Absorption of Rigid Cellular Plastics
- E96 Standard Test Methods for Water Vapor Transmission of Materials
- C272 Standard Test Method for Water Absorption of Core Materials for Structural Sandwich Constructions
- D696 Standard Test Method for Coefficient of Linear Thermal Expansion of Plastics Between -30°C and 30°C With a Vitreous Silica Dilatometer
- C203 Standard Test Methods for Breaking Load and Flexural Properties of Block-Type Thermal Insulation Cellular Plastics
- D4716 Standard Test Method for Determining the (In-plane) Flow Rate per Unit Width and Hydraulic Transmissivity of a Geosynthetic Using a Constant Head
- CAN/ULC S701 Type 4

TABLE 1: U.S. VALUES AND TYPICAL PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD 40, 60 AND 100 INSULATION

PROPERTY AND TEST METHOD	HIGHLOAD 40	HIGHLOAD 60	HIGHLOAD 100
Thermal Resistance, per inch, ASTM C518, C177, @ 75°F mean temp., ft ² *h*°F/Btu, R-value, min.	5.0	5.0	5.0
Compressive Strength ⁽¹⁾ , ASTM D1621, psi, min.	40	60	100
Water Absorption, ASTM C272, % by volume, max. (24hr water immersion)	0.3	0.3	0.3
Water Vapor Permeancern, ASTM E96, perms	1.0 (57.2 ng/ Pa.s.m ²)	0.8 (45.8 ng/ Pa.s.m ²)	0.8 (45.8 ng/ Pa.s.m²)
Maximum Use Temperature, °F	165	165	165
Coefficient of Linear Thermal Expansion, ASTM D696, in/in*°F	3.5 x 10 ⁻⁶	3.5 x 10 ⁻⁶	3.5 x 10 ⁻⁶
Flexural Strength, ASTM C203, psi, min.	60	75	100
Complies with ASTM C578, Type	VI	VII	V
(1) Vertical compressive strength is measured at 5 percent deform	nation or at yield, wh	ichever occurs firs	t. Since

on thour union insulations are visco-estantic materials, adequate design safety factors should be used to prevent long-term crises. For static loads, 3:1 is suggested. For dynamic loads, call 1-885-583-BLUE (2583) for safety factor

(2) Water vacor permeance varies with product type and thickness. Values are based on the desiccant method and they apply to insulation 1° or greater in thickness.

61*Trademark of The Dow Chemical Company (*Dow*) or an affikated company of Dow * R means resistance to heat flow. The higher the R-sake or RSI, the greater the insulating pow

PRODUCT INFORMATION . COMMERCIAL . US/CANADA

TABLE 2: CANADA VALUES AND TYPICAL PHYSICAL PROPERTIES OF STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD 40, 60 AND 100 INSULATION

PROPERTY AND TEST METHOD	HIGHLOAD 40	HIGHLOAD 60	HIGHLOAD 100
Thermal Resistance, per inch (25 mm), ASTM C518, C177, @ 75°F (24°C) mean temp.,			
ft2+h+°F/Btu (m2+°C/W), R-value (RSI), min.	5.0 (.88)	5.0 (.88)	5.0 (.88)
Compressive Strength®, ASTM D1621, psi (kPa),			
min.	40 (275)	60 (415)	100 (690)
Water Absorption, ASTM D2842, % by volume, max, (96hr water immersion)	0.7	0.7	0.7
Water Vapour Permeance ^{p)} , ASTM E96, perms (ng/Pa+s+m ²)	1.0 (57.2 ng/ Pa.s.m ²)	0.8 (45.8 ng/ Pa.s.m²)	0.8 (45.8 ng/ Pa.s.m ²)
Maximum Use Temperature, °F (°C)	165 (74)	165 (74)	165 (74)
Coefficient of Linear Thermal Expansion, ASTM D696, in/in*°F (mm/m*°C)	3.5 x 10 ⁻⁶ (6.3 x 10 ⁻⁹)	3.5 x 10 ⁻⁶ (6.3 x 10 ⁻⁹)	3.5 x 10 ⁻⁶ (6.3 x 10 ⁻⁹)
Flexural Strength, ASTM C203, psi (kPa), min.	70 (480)	85 (585)	85 (585)
Compressive Modulus (typical), ASTM D1621, psi (kPa)	1,400 (9,650)	2,200 (15,170)	3,700 (25,510)
Complies with CAN/ULC S701, Type	4	4	4

(1) Vertical compressive strength is measured at 5 percent deformation or at yield, whichever occurs first. Since STYROFOAM insulations are visco-etastic materials, adequate design safety factors should be used to prevent long-term creep. For static loads, 3:1 is suggested. For dynamic loads, call 1-865-583-BLUE (2583) for safety factor recommendation.

(2) Water vapour permeance varies with product type and thickness. Values are based on the desiccant method and they apply to insulation 1* (25 mm) or greater in thickness.

CODE COMPLIANCES

STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD 40, 60 and 100 insulation complies with the following codes:

- International Residential Code (IRC) and International Building Code (IBC); see ICC-ES ESR 2142 (excluding STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD 100)
- California Std. Reg. #CA T-064 Underwriters Laboratories, see Classification Certificate D369
- Underwriters Laboratories Verified to ESR 2142
- CCMC EVALUATION 04888-L Contact your Dow sales

representative or local authorities for state/provincial and local building code requirements and related acceptances.

TYPICAL PHYSICAL PROPERTIES

STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD 40, 60 and 100 insulation products exhibit the typical physical properties indicated in Tables 1 and 2 when tested as represented.

ENVIRONMENTAL DATA

STYROFOAM™ Brand HIGHLOAD 40, 60, 100 Insulation is hydrochlorofluorocarbon (HCFC) free with zero ozone-depletion potential.

STYROFOAM™ Brand HIGHLOAD 40, 60, 100 Insulation is reusable in many applications.

PRODUCT INFORMATION . COMMERCIAL . US/CANADA

FIRE INFORMATION

STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD 40, 60 and 100 insulation is combustible; protect from high heat sources. Local building codes may require a protective or thermal barrier. For more information, consult MSDS, call Dow at 1-866-583-BLUE (2583) or contact your local building inspector.

5. INSTALLATION

STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD 40, 60 and 100 insulation boards are easy to handle and install. They can be cut with a utility knife or any sharp blade. Contact a local Dow representative or access the literature library at www. dowbuildingsolutions.com for more specific instructions. 6. AVAILABILITY STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD 40, 60 and 100 insulation products are distributed through an extensive network. For more information, call: 1-800-232-2436 (English) 1-800-565-1255 (French)

7. WARRANTY In the United States, a 50-year thermal limited warranty is available on STYROFOAM™ Insulation products 1.5 inches and greater. For thickness less than 1.5 inches, other warranties may apply. Warranties are available as described at www.dbswarranties.com

8. MAINTENANCE Not applicable.

9. TECHNICAL SERVICES

Dow can provide technical information to help address questions when using STYROFOAM™ HIGHLOAD 40, 60 and 100 insulation products. Technical personnel are available to assist with any insulation project. For technical assistance call: 1-866-583-BLUE (2583) (English) 1-800-363-6210 (French)

10. FILING SYSTEMS • www.dowbuildingsolutions.com

8**Trademark of The Dow Chemical Company (*Dow*) or an affiliated company of Dow

ROOFAQUAGUARD BREA

INSTALLATION INSTRUCTIONS

An one sector and a field sector set field of the sector sector field forder not next used by the sector sector field of other sectors and sector sectors field and the An > CLAB of the sector sector sector field of the An > CLAB of the sector s

diritita. Al Citi Food Aquatizand 882 A can be into Medid drove winds and deroren on date or cold or warm rold apple atom (below local hall blegg obej as it is considered a code of L'STATING OF

900FM 701: Fox/Aque Guerd PE A though notice used on skyps kee from 212.

Livited dil ETH 420-1904/Ligard (1950). Is la diferenza de fiper della fi to thereare net luti privadade tap and veh d' harboncal la pa and d' vent cal laps. (The use of a dip cheet is n **Soledude** udius d BPDA car be a tached to the intround Red Dick on correcting refs. Instruge minimum of 17 distruction pr or the donted AUT and or Steed Copyregue to a studyed rud All Back of sed Coperate to all of advantation of the analysis of the second of a debits X5 on the product NGTBYING NETHOD: Rooks Market Lobo Place Car Sur

88 TENA PR.KATI DArihota tercecural apple alora i heura di pleate aproving m bi esta red ni to sociae the undefenera and peneri blevo di undi i technico spicem bi recaled toi toi 1016 6 SPALES 10 36 CAREANTRI 9 dd. Jdk

Tut institut, Mittoriter et eineuf anten uue apresaneren bie, dout le abeda pa uuch a boude boude boude practergus and the adie gogge and ann 1955 pa and aktiviti on out or dout le abeda and an apresanere by 2° in ad th, skered undersen her bainen paral ad ah Per eksterikoskip saitary gotsekeneri heredebigener a trithebut ons

315990; BCT0014 Fuerto Juadrog de oceding nuevelsk concelhe Rouloquati un di 866. prodet y 111 accenteratedutar a rochiga Artic pi accente de concelhe Rouloquati un di 866. accente for la conferencia de la concela de la concelhe accente accente de la concelhe accente accente

R Nuk. Inst tek L. All Christeau Brog Kraincolleg, untrin i noortes Konsteau underbynen speka Kon in recommended

moting as and drift. BCAUTIONS AND LINE VIEW

the full design and the same day

und harepage sertiates ----

any additionage to manda an unburround parch of man

No card Sole y Data the new photon in process the card Sole y Data the new photonges. In the check and in order spin. New York of the second second

down I TC IS OFL



All material must be installed in complia with applicable codes and ordinances

Marketing, Sales & Technical Support West Vancouver, BC V7W 1E9 Tel: 1 888 840 3435 Nemco Industries Inc. 4655 Caulfelld Dr

nemco@telus.net Manufactured for:

NAT ERPROOFING INC. NORDIC

PL 10 04361 Tuusula, Finland

MAMMANDE COUNTY



ROOFAQUAGUARD BREA

A Truly Breathable, Microporous Underlayment **RoofAquaGuard BREA**

A very strong, multilayer, breathable, mechanically fastened Synthetic Roofing Underlayment for use on pitched roofs

Constructed around a "Wicroporous" Film that combines a Weather Barrier with

Superior Non-Abrashe Surface and Excellent Walkability Characteristics excellent Breathability properties and additional reinforcement mesh

Allows moisture to evaporate without letting in water or wind

One of the best Breathable Synthetic Underlayment Products on the market using proven European Technology

Suitable for ven tilated or non-ventilated cold or warm roof applications Hydrophobically Treated

Microporous film is engineered with special polymer chemistry that uses less filler than conventional Microporous films and is thus truty breathable

UV stable up to 4 months without final roof cover

Excellent stability at High Temperatures

PPE membranes are totally recyclable

Limited 35 Year Warranty



INSTELEMEN

C

HIGH-TECH PROTE

ę

quaguar

	ROOF High Perfe	-AQUAGUAI		
ROOFAQUAGUARD	BREA (BREATHAB	ILE ROOFING UNDERI	AYMENT SPE	CIFICATION DATA)
CHECKED CHARACTERISTICS	NORM (RELAVANT STANDARD)	MULE	UNIT OF MEASUR	E UNT OF MEASURE
Product Code	KU0044	Pol Stor	1.5m × 50r	5/7 × 16/4 (00 + 14-44)
No of Layers		Matthown/hace/grid combi	aton 4	4
Vibight Nalss Per Unit Area	EN 1949-2(TAS 104-85)	175 ± 0%	175 011	28.7 Ibhol
Thickness	EN 1849-2(TAS 104-95)	0.8±5%	0.75 mm	30 mil (0.037)
Tensile Shength (brightere	EN 123311-1 (AC 38)	MDc310±15% CD240±159	480M5cm	52 b/h
Tons to Shongth (haraveas)	EN 123311-1 (AC 38)	MD:310±15% CD:340±15%	6 400N5cm	45 b/m
Bongation at Break set shorth tonghod na			20%	20%
Null Tearing Strength (orgeneral)	EN 12310-1	MD: 190±15% CD:200±153	930 N	39 br
Nail Tearing Strength (ensure)	EN 12310-1		N 920	42.66
UN Resistance	EN 10589-1 (AC 38)	4 months	4 months	4 months
Water Vapour Transmission Property	EN 1389-1 (AC 38)	V00-610 ± 15% ZPC 50-50	1043.9/m2-2	h 'see chartbelow
Vater Vapour resistance	B\$3177		0.18MMVaVg	
Whiter Column			×3000mm	H_0 118.1 h
Resistance to Temperature	EN 13859-1 (AC 38)		-10°C to 80	AC -10F IS 178 F
Approvals				IOC-ESR #3017
Approvals				Mami Dade NOA 409-0915.10
Approvals				FL#13064
"diart				
TEST RESULT SUMMARY		METRI	C UNITS	IMPERIAL UNITS
Whether Manner Thamemia since		43	herre 6	2 grathcft
		1034 9	dayun ^a 5	479 groaday.th
Water Vapor Permeanoe		8333 n	gPa.sm ² 5	46 perms
Water Vapor Permeability		4	gPa.sm 8	530 Permindh
	4-LA	YER CONSTRUCTIO	z	
11.00				
N LOAD A				



BREA ROOFAQUAGUARD High Performance Building Products



ROOF AQUA GUARD LIMITED WARRANTY

Nonds: Waterproofing Inc. ("Na nuta chare") variants to the buyer ("Duyer") of the Manufacturer's synthetic roofing underfay, mease people. ("Product") this the Product will Nonzpennaru up observations to the point of dialme because of wathin ing for a period of 35 years from the states involution date ("Manufact") entity. ("Interdied in a workmarkite manner strictly in accou diance with and for the part page state for Annufacturer's installa for instanciation.

To make a warrang claim, Buyer must, within 15 days from the date that the daimed defect in the Product was discovered: (1) Give Manufacturer written motice of the defect including, without limitation, detailed detecriptions of how the Product was used, the defect and how and when the defect including, without limitation, detailed detecriptions of how the Product was the Product legibly. Jhow and when the defect production codes and data glictures showing the defect. To be effective, any such nodes, regibly, showing the Production rockes and data glictures showing the defect. To be effective, any such nodes, rangles, and pictures must be sentify registered or certified and to Manufacturers addressed is follows:

Nordic Waterproofing Inc. c/o Nemc o Industries Inc. 4655 Cauffeld Of West Vancouver, BC V7W 1E9 Canada Marufacturer shall, at its sole option and as Buyer's side remedy, either, reput, refund the purchase price of, or provide replocements, the propersion of the Product which has been proven ib be direct when an mercover ability the same value of these remedies shall be determined rady by the Marufacturer based upon the current prices for the Product provade of the number of remaining motils of the unexpect Narufacturer based upon the current prices for the Product provade by the number of remaining motils of the unexpect Narufacturer based upon the current prices for the Product provade by the number of remaining motils of the unexpect Narufacturer based upon the current prices for the Product provade by the number of the argument product purchase price. Any such replacement or refund shall construe the fulfit of Marufacturer haltity or dispation for any defective Product, Buyershall pay al handling and transport costs in connection with any wreatey dain.

This warrancy shall become vold if any person not expressly authorized by Manufacturer performs any reports or diversion within the Warrancy Period or if Buyer fails to give notice of defact within the time and in the manner described above.

No modification of bisisson may be volver of its semis shell be beding on other pertyrulise approved in writing by an autotion green empty of the perty. His summery may not be modified by any course of dealing or performance, itable usage or failure to reduce any other and offs, summery, bayer may not analyze or performance, itable usage or failure to reduce the reduce and the sum constrained reduce are under or this, variancy, which of manufacture the reduce and the summery and the analyze or performance or the summer sum of the transto the and the reduce and the summery and the analyze or performance or the summer of this variancy. When warraws may be commercial more than oney after the analyze or performance of the Product and any and a Warrawice and exclusive agreement of the partied with expect to the quality or performance of the Product and any and a Warrawice for the Product. All legal a spects of this warraws the life operated in the constration of the Product and and out the other for the Product. All legal a spects of this warraws the life operated in the constration of the product and and out the other for the Product and the parties dimension that performance of the product and any and all warraws the file and conflic of how mark the life or the counte of the product and any and all barraws are the file and conflic of how mark the file of the counte of the provides of the fold that and dualets of the file of the parties dimension of the provides of the file of fundual.

2009 2011 Otr 2 Otr 2														alla		IJ	-	\$	
2008 2008 2008 2007 2														Manual Summary Bo	Manual Summary	Start-only	Finish-only	Deadline	Decrease
2007 Otr 1 Otr 2 Ot	5 4 5 	•	_	1	-	•	0	_	-	_	•	_	-	•		¢			
Finish	Thu 2/8/07	Wed 2/21/07	Wed 2/21/07	Thu 2/22/07	Thu 3/1/07	Wed 3/14/07	Mon 3/26/07	Mon 3/26/07	Tue 3/27/07	Wed 3/28/07	Fri 4/6/07	Mon 4/9/07	Tue 4/10/07	nal Milestone	ive Task	ive Milestone	ive Summary	ual Task	
Start	Wed 2/7/07	Thu 2/8/07	Wed 2/21/07	Wed 2/21/07	Wed 2/21/07	Thu 3/1/07	Thu 3/1/07	Mon 3/26/07	Mon 3/26/07	Wed 3/28/07	Wed 3/28/07	Mon 4/9/07	Mon 4/9/07	Exter	Inact	Inact	Inact	Mani	
Duration	1.3 days	r) 9 days	0.3 days	1.25 days	5.9 days	9.5 days	t 17 days	0.5 days	1.5 days	0.7 days	7.3 days	0.4 days	1.3 days			٠	ļ	ļ	
•	Reinforcement (First	Formwork (First Floor	Concrete Placement or)	inforcement (First	Slab Reinforcement or)	ormwork (First Floor)	Slab Formwork (First	or)	Slab Concrete nt (First Floor)	all Reinforcement or)	all Formwork (First	all Concrete nt (First Floor)	Reinforcement Floor)	Tack	Solit	Milestone	Summary	Project Summary	External Tacks
Task Nam	Column Floor)	Column	Column ((First Flo	Beam Re Floor)	Elevated (First Flo	Beams Fe	Elevated Floor)	Beam Co (First Flo	Elevated	Shear W: (First Flo	Shear W: Floor)	Shear W	Column (Second			hedule			
Task Mode	Û	0Û	ÛÛ	Û	Û	Û	0Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û			oncrete Sc	4/8/14		
	1	2	e	4	s	9	2	80	<u>б</u>	10	Ħ	11	13			Project: C	Date: Tue		

Appendix K

_														_						
5	4														P				1	
2009																				
1	7																			
2008 2008 24 Otr 1 Otr 2 Otr 3														Manual Summary Rollup	Manual Summary	start-only	inish-only	Deadline	rogress	
2007 Over 1 Over 2 Over 3 Ove		_	-	-	•	•	_	-	-	•	_	-	•	*		¢				
	/01	/0/	/01	6	01	01	6	/0/	01	6	6	/0/		2		ę	~			
inish	Von 4/23	Mon 4/23	Ved 4/25	lue 5/1/0	ue 5/15/	Thu 5/24/	ri 5/25/0	Mon 5/28	ue 5/29/	Thu 6/7/0	1hu 6/7/0	Von 6/11	ri 6/22/0	al Milestor	e Task	e Milestor	e Summar	il Task	Vino-no	~
Ē	60	8/07	6	L 20,	5	6	/01	10/	8/07	10,	5	6	1/01	Extern	Inactiv	Inactiv	Inactiv	Manua	Durati	Page:
Start	Tue 4/10	Mon 4/23	Tue 4/24,	Tue 4/24,	Tue 5/1/(Tue 5/1/(Thu 5/24,	Thu 5/24,	Mon 5/28	Tue 5/29,	Thu 6/7/(Thu 6/7/(Mon 6/1				P	ľ		
Duration	9 days	0.3 days	1.25 days	5.9 days	9.5 days	17 days	0.5 days	1.5 days	0.7 days	7.3 days	0.4 days	1.3 days	9 days			٠	ŀ			
9	ormwork (Second	Concrete Placement Floor)	inforcement (Second	Slab Reinforcement Floor)	ormwork (Second	Slab Formwork Floor)	ncrete Placement Floor)	Slab Concrete nt (Second Floor)	all Reinforcement Floor)	all Formwork (Second	all Concrete nt (Second Floor)	Reinforcement (Third	ormwork (Third	Task	Split	Milestone	Summary	Project Summary	External Tasks	
Task Nam	Column F Floor)	Column ((Second	Beam Re Floor)	Elevated (Second	Beams F(Floor)	Elevated (Second	Beam Co (Second	Elevated	Shear Wa	Shear Wa Floor)	Shear Wa	Column F Floor)	Column F Floor)			dule				
Task	1 ¹	Û	Û	0Û	0Û	0Û	Û	Û	0Û	Û	Û	Û	¢۵			rete Sched	V14			
c																Conc	lue 4/8			
	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	2	33	24	25	36			Project	Date: 1			

																			_	_
Qtr 2															P					
2009 Otr 1																				
tr 2 Qtr 3 Qtr 4 0														arv Rollun	Jary	u	•	\$		
2008 0tr 4 Otr 1 Ot														ManualSumm	Manual Summ	Start-only	Finish-only	Deadline	Progress	
007 0tr 1 Qtr 2 Qtr 3 C		-	-	•	•	-	-	-	•	-	1	•	-	•		\$				
20		01	6		01	01	5			6		01	6							
Finish	Fri 6/22/07	Mon 6/25/	Mon 7/2/0	Fri 7/13/07	Wed 7/25/	Wed 7/25/	Thu 7/26/0	Fri 7/27/07	Tue 8/7/07	Wed 8/8/0	Thu 8/9/07	Wed 8/22/	Wed 8/22/	al Mileston	ve Task	re Milestone	ve Summary	al Task	on-only	
	6	01	6	01	01	5/07	2/07	/0/	6	6	01	6	2/07	Exterr	Inactiv	Inacth	Inactiv	Manu	Durati	Page
Start	Fri 6/22/	Fri 6/22/	Fri 6/22/	Mon 7/2,	Mon 7/2,	Wed 7/2	Wed 7/2	Thu 7/26	Fri 7/27/	Tue 8/7/	Wed 8/8	Thu 8/9/	Wed 8/2				ľ	ľ		
Duration	0.3 days	1.25 days	5.9 days	9.5 days	17 days	0.5 days	1.5 days	0.7 days	7.3 days	0.4 days	1.3 days	9 days	0.3 days			٠	ļ			
a	Concrete Placement vor)	inforcement (Third	Slab Reinforcement vor)	ormwork (Third Floor)	Slab Formwork (Third	ncrete Placement vor)	Slab Concrete ht (Third Floor)	all Reinforcement or)	all Formwork (Third	all Concrete ht (Third Floor)	keinforcement loor)	ormwork (Fourth	Concrete Placement loor)	Task	Split	Milestone	Summary	Project Summary	External Tasks	
Task Nam	Column C (Third Flo	Beam Re Floor)	Elevated (Third Flo	Beams Fo	Elevated Floor)	Beam Co (Third Flo	Elevated	Shear Wa (Third Flo	Shear Wa Floor)	Shear Wa	Column F (Fourth F	Column F Floor)	Column ((Fourth F			dule				
Task Mode	Û	Û	Û	Û	0Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û			ete Scher	14			
																Concre	ue 4/8/			
	27	28	ର	ß	31	32	33	뚌	33	36	37	38	8			Project:	Date: Tu			

_	_	_														_	_	_	_		
	Qtr 2															P				I	
2009	Qtr 1																				
	Qtr 4															ļ					
	Qtr 3														ollup	-	-			-	
	atr 2														mary F	mary					
8008	2tr 1 (alSum	alSum	only	-only	ine	ss	
2	ttr 4 0														Manu	Manu	Start-	Finish	Dead	Progr	
	1		•	•		-	-	-	•	-	-	•	-	-		Π		P	î		
	tr 2 0																		I		
6	cr 1 Q														•	U	Φ	J			
8	8		6	6	07	6	20	01	01	01	6		6								
	24/07		3/30/0	9/13/0	9/24/	//25/0	9/26/	9/26/	10/8/	10/8/	0/6/0	2/07	0/23/	4/07	estone	×	estone	nmary	×	Ą	
Finish	Fri 8/		Ť	Thu	Mon	Tue 9	Wed	Wed	Mon	Mon	Tue	Mon 10/2	Tue	Wed 10/2	Inal Mi	ive Tas	ive Mi	ive Sur	ualTas	tion-oi	4
	22/07		22/07	0/01	0/01	24/07	24/07	26/07	26/07	V8/07	V8/07	20/6	6	23/07	Exter	Inact	Inact	Inact	Man	Dura	Pag
art	/ed 8/		/ed 8/	hu 8/3	hu 8/3	10n 9/	lon 9/	/e pa/	/ed 9/	10n 10	10n 10	ue 10/	1on 0/22/(ue 10/				ľ	ľ		
5	~ ~		5	-	F	2	2	>	\$	2	2	-	2 7	5					L		
uration	25 da		9 days	5 days	7 days	5 days	5 days	7 days	3 days	4 days	3 days	days	3 days	25 day			٠	ŀ	₿	U	
Ō	_ ≓		ы Н	6	H	ö	ri -	o	۲. ۲	o	н Д	σ	0 t	-i							
	(Four		ceme	ftn	ł,	ment	o te	ment	k (Four	oor)	ent (Fif	ft	cemei	(Fifth					many	sks	
	ement		teinfor	ork (Fo	ormw	e Place	oncre urth Fl	nforce	mwor	Inth Fl	rceme	/ork (F	ete Pla	ement			stone	mary	ect Sur	srnal Ta	
	inforc		Slab F Floor)	ormw	Slab F Floor)	ncrete Floor)	slab C nt (Foi	all Rei Floor)	all For	all Cor	Reinfo	Formv	Concre	inforc	Tas	Spli	M	Sun	Proj	Exte	
sk Nam	am Re	(ro	evated	ams F	evated	am Co	evated	ear W	ear W	ear W	lumn oor)	lumn oor)	fth Flo	am Re Dor)							
Ta	8	Ĕ	ΞĔ	器 푼	틒듯	뽑곳	ш́ Z	ਦ ਦ	운토	운품	8 ਵ	8 2	SE	뽑 또			hedule				
Task	Mode	t	Û	Û	ÛÛ	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û			ete Scl	/14			
																	t: Conci	lue 4/8			
	8		41	42	43	4	45	46	47	48	49	20	51	52			Project	Date:			

	_														_					_	
	Qtr 2															P				1	
2009	Qtr 1															L					
2008	4 Qtr 1 Qtr 2 Qtr 3 Qtr 4								_	_		_	_	-	anual Summary Rollup	anual Summary	art-only E	nish-only I	eadline	ogress	
2007	Otr 1 Otr 2 Otr 3 Otr	•			_	-	_								≥		ې ۲			Pr	
Finish		Tue 10/30/07	Tue 11/13/07	Thu 11/22/07	Fri 11/23/07	Mon 11/26/07	Mon 11/26/07	Thu 12/6/07	Thu 12/6/07	Fri 12/7/07	Thu 12/20/07	Fri 12/21/07	Mon 12/24/07	Fri 12/28/07	nal Milestone	ve Task	ve Milestone	ve Sum mary	al Task	ion-only	5
Start		Tue 10/23/07	Tue 10/30/07	Tue 10/30/07	Thu 11/22/07	Thu 11/22/07	Mon 11/26/07	Mon 11/26/07	Thu 12/6/07	Thu 12/6/07	Fri 12/7/07	Thu 12/20/07	Fri 12/21/07	Fri 12/21/07	Exter	macti	Inacti	Inacti	Manu	Durat	Page
Duration		5.4 days	9.5 days	17 days	0.5 days	1.5 days	0.7 days	7.3 days	0.4 days	1.3 days	9 days	0.3 days	1.25 days	5.9 days			٠	ļ			
8		Slab Reinforcement or)	ormwork (Fifth Floor)	Slab Formwork (Fifth	ncrete Placement or)	Slab Concrete nt (Fifth Floor)	all Reinforcement or)	all Formwork (Fifth	all Concrete nt (Fifth Floor)	Reinforcement (Sixth	ormwork (Sixth	Concrete Placement or)	inforcement (Sixth	Slab Reinforcement or)	Task	Split	Milestone	Summary	Project Summary	External Tasks	
Task Nam		Elevated (Fifth Flo	Beams F(Elevated Floor)	Beam Co (Fifth Flo	Elevated	Shear Wa (Fifth Flo	Shear Wa Floor)	Shear Wa	Column F Floor)	Column F Floor)	Column ((Sixth Flo	Beam Re Floor)	Elevated (Sixth Flo			dule				
Task	Mode	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û			ete Sche	/14			
	0																t: Concr	Tue 4/8/			
		23	2	S	ጽ	57	8	8	8	61	8	8	64	ន			Projec	Date:			





Г																						
l	Otr 2															1	ľ					
0000	0tr 1																					
l	Qtr 4																Ļ		-	4		
l	Qtr 3												_	_		ollup	_	_		-		
l	ttr 2	_	-	_	•	-	-	•	-	-	•	•	U			mary R	mary					
	tr 1 0															alSum	alSum	yhi	only	e e	8	
	r 4 0															Manua	Manua	Start-o	Finish-	Deadli	Progre	
l	-3 Q																Π		R	1		
l	2 00																			I		
I,	1 Qtr																		L			
2	ŝ 8			-						-						~	U	Ŷ		•		
		22/08	3/08	26/08	4/08	80/9	8	80/61	19/08	23/08	//08	1/08	22/08	23/08		tone		tone	nary			
	usu	hu 5/2	ri 5/23	Aon 5/	Ved 6/	hu 6/9	ri 6/6/	hu 6/3	hu 6/3	/ou 6/	ri 6/23	ri 7/11	ue 7/2	Ved 7/		I Miles	e Task	e Miles	e Sumr	ITask	vino-n	
ľ	I .	1 80	8	√08 V	/08	8		-	1 80	~			⊷	8		externa	nactive	nactive	nactive	Manua	Duratio	
		5/22/	5/22/	5/26	5/26	6/5/0	6/5/0	(6/08	6/19/	//20/0	//20/0	//2//0	//2//0	122/1			ī	-	P	P		
	Stan	Thu	цТ.	Mor	Mor	Ę	Ę	Fri6	цТ.	Fri6	Fri6	Fri6	Fri6	P,			1			L		
	uon	ays	ays	ays	ays	ays	ays	S.	ays	days	ays	ays	sys	ays								
	Durat	0.5 d	1.5 d	0.7 d	7.3 d	0.4 d	1.3 d	9 day	0.3 d	1.25	5.9 d	9.5 d	17 di	0.5 d		U	ł	٠	₽	₽	U	
		ŧ		ŧ	ghth		Ninth	£	Tent	ht	nent			ŧ						λ.		
		ceme	Floor)	ceme	ork (Ei	fe Floor)	nent ((Nint	lacen	int (N	forcen	Ninth	work	ceme				e	٨	mmi	Tasks	
		ete Pla)	Conc	einfor	n mw	oncre	forcer	work	crete	rceme	Rein	work (Form	ete Pla		sk	lit	lestor	mmar	oject S	ternal	
	a	Concre	ent (E	Vall R Floor	Vall F	Vall C ent (E	n Rein	n Forn	Floor(Reinfo	ed Slat Floor)	Form	ed Slat Floor)	Concre Floor)	╞	Ĕ	ŝ	2	ŝ	ā	۵	
	ask na	leam (Eighth	levate	hear \ Eighth	hear /	hear \ lacem	(olumi	(Joor)	Ninth	loor)	levate	loor)	levate	Ninth				a				
ľ	- a	a 3	ωd	5 0	0, 12	0, 11	0 1	0 1	03		<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>				chedul				
ļ	Mod	ÛÛ	Û	Û	0Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û				crete S	8/14			
L	0																	t: Cone	Tue 4/			
		92	8	2	3 2	8	97	8	8	100	101	102	103	104				Projec	Date:			



_	_																				
1															ī	P				1	
802															L						
	4						_	_	•		_	-	-		L			_			
	-	•	-	-	•	_	_	_							nllup =		•	'n	7		
															tary Ro	Jary					
8															Summ	ISumm	γh	yhi	ę.	2	
20	5														Janual	Janual	tart-or	inish-o	beadlin	rogres	
	200														~	2	S	R	1		
																			I		
ł	5																		I		
2002	5												_		۰	U	0	D	•		
	24/08	/08	/6/08	2/08	8	8	8	28/08	11/08	20/08	1/08	8	8		tone		one	VIE			
łsi	/e p)/	ri 10/3	lon 10	ue 10/	lon 0/20/(lon 0/20/(/ed 0/22/(ue 10/	ue 11/	11/	i 11/2	lon 1/24/(1/24/		I Miles	: Task	Miles	Sumn	Task	n-only	
<u> </u>	8	/08 F	2	/08 1	2 11	2 8	5 8	F	/08 1	108 1	/08 Fi	/08 N	2 #		xterna	nactive	nactive	nactive	Janual	buratio	age 1(
	9/23/	9/24	0/3/0	10/6	10/7/	0/08	0/08	0/08	10/28	10/28	11/20	11/20	4/08			ī	-	P	₽		
Start	Tue	Ved	Fi 1	Mon	Tue	10/2	10/2	10/2	Tue	Tue	뤝	Ę	Mon 11/2						L		
ē	ays	ays	ays	ays	۶	ays	days	ays	ays	sh	ays	ays	ays								
Durat	0.7 d	7.3 d	0.4 d	1.3 d	9 day	0.3 d	1.25	5.9 d	9.5 d	17 da	0.5 d	1.5 d	0.7 d			ł	٠	₽	₽		
	ŧ	enth			uth	tent		nent	ŧ		ŧ	or)	ŧ						کړ.		
	cemer	Ъ. Ж	loor)	nent	(Eleve	lacer	ŧ	orcen	Elever	work	ceme	th Floo	cemer				e	×	umma	Tasks	
	einfor	N L L	oncret enth I	forcer or)	work	or)	or)	or)	work (or)	or)	Conc	einfor or)		sk	lit	lestor	mmar	oject S	ternal	
a	Vall R	Vall Fo	Vall C ent (T	h Rein	1 Form	th Flo	Reinfo Ith Flo	d Slat	Form	d Slat	Concre th Flo	d Slat ent (E	Vall R th Flo	╞	Ë	ŝ	2	S	ď	۵	
ask Na	hear \	hear /	hear \	Columi	(olumi	Columi	lever	levate	loor)	levate	lever	levate	hear \ Elever				a				
F,	- S E	SE	νđ	02	0 2	02	82	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	88	ω 4	S E				chedul				
Task	Û	Û	Û	Û	0Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û	Û				trete S	8/14			
•																	t: Conc	Tue 4/\			
	118	119	120	121	122	123	124	125	126	127	128	129	130				Projec	Date:			



Appendix K 98

9	c	Task	Task Name	Duration	Start	Finish	2007 04:1 04:3 04:3 0	2008	20(640	
144		Û	Shear Wall Concrete Placement (Twelfth Floor)	0.4 days	Tue 2/3/09	Tue 2/3/09	5 C 5 5 4 5 5 4 5 5 7 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5	11		4	
145		0Û	Column Reinforcement (Thirteenth Floor)	1.3 days	Wed 2/4/09	Thu 2/5/09			-		
146		0Û	Column Formwork (Thirteenth Floor)	9 days	Thu 2/5/09	Wed 2/18/09					
147		0Û	Column Concrete Placement (Thirteenth Floor)	0.3 days	Wed 2/18/09	Wed 2/18/09					
148		0Û	Beam Reinforcement (Thirteenth Floor)	1.25 days	Wed 2/18/09	Thu 2/19/09			_		
149		0Û	Elevated Slab Reinforcement (Thirteenth Floor)	5.9 days	Wed 2/18/09	Thu 2/26/09					
150		0Û	Beams Formwork (Thirteenth Floor)	9.5 days	Thu 2/26/09	Wed 3/11/09				•	
151		0Û	Elevated Slab Formwork (Thirteenth Floor)	17 days	Thu 2/26/09	Mon 3/23/09				0	
152		Û	Beam Concrete Placement (Thirteenth Floor)	0.5 days	Mon 3/23/09	Mon 3/23/09				_	
153		Û	Elevated Slab Concrete Placement (Thirteenth Floor)	1.5 days	Mon 3/23/09	Tue 3/24/09				_	
154		Û	Shear Wall Reinforcement (Thirteenth Floor)	0.7 days	Wed 3/25/09	Wed 3/25/09				_	
155		Û	Shear Wall Formwork (Thirteenth Floor)	7.3 days	Wed 3/25/09	Fri 4/3/09					
156		D.	Shear Wall Concrete Placement (Thirteenth Floor)	0.4 days	Mon 4/6/09	Mon 4/6/09					
			Tack		Evter	nal Milectone	0	Manual Summary Rollin	g		
			Split		Inact	ive Task		ManualSummary		ľ	
Project	: Concre	ete Sched	Jule Milestone	٠	Inact	ive Milestone	\$	Start-only			
Date: T	ue 4/8/	14	Summary	ļ	Inact	ive Summary		Finish-only	-		
			Project Summary		Man	ual Task		Deadline	\$		
			External Tasks		Durat	tion-only		Progress			
					Page	12					

Appendix L





Appendix L 101













Appendix L 107
April 9, 2014[OKLAHOMA UNIVERSITY CHILDREN'S MEDICAL
OFFICE BUILDING FINAL REPORT]

Appendix M

	a-aladi, m		
	Steel	M-1	
	columns		
	24.6 = 1.07 days per every other floor		
	Beams (Simply connections)		
	37.6 = 2 lole days per floor		
	Beams (Moment connections)		
	12.22 = 1.57 days per floor 7.0		
	Braces		
	5.73 = 0.4 days per Floor 14.2		
	Floor Duck		
	22844 = 6.0 days per floor 3600		
	shear Studs		
	25665 = 2.67 days per floor 960		
	Loncrate Reinforcement		
	225.4 = 7.4 days per Floor 31		
	Concrete Topping		
	270.9 = 1.4 days per floor 140		
	Steel Joists		
	22465 = 1 day per root 2200		
	Roof Duck		
	22703.5 = 4,6 days per roof 4900		
	a di s		
		3	
	× * * *		
			- 1 211 20
		÷ *	

April 9, 2014[OKLAHOMA UNIVERSITY CHILDREN'S MEDICAL
OFFICE BUILDING FINAL REPORT]

		M-7	
	Fire proofing columns		
	1476.7 = 1.3 days per floor		
	Fire proofing Beams		•
	27642=1.9 days per floor 1500		
	Fire proofing Braces		
DAMAD	193 = 0.2 days per floor		
	Fire proofing Joists		
	112325 = 0.75 days per 100f		
	Fire proofing Deck		
	22705.5 = 10,2 days per roof 1250		
	Concrete (assuming three crews)		
	Reinforcement - Columns		
	17760.4 = 1.3 days per floor 4600.3		
	Formwork-Columns		
	<u>5366.3</u> = 19 days per floor 200.3		
	Concrete Placement-Lolusions		
	1239=0.3 days per floor 140.3		
	Reinforcement-Beams		
	20327.5 = 1.25 days per floor 5400.3		
	1. ¹¹		

April 9, 2014[OKLAHOMA UNIVERSITY CHILDREN'S MEDICAL
OFFICE BUILDING FINAL REPORT]

		M-3	1.
	Form work - Beams		
	7567.65 - 9.5 days per floor 265.3		
	Concrete Flacement Beams.		
	141.8 = 0.5 days per floor 90.3		
	Reinforcement - Elevated State		
"Obdiw	102564 = 5.9 Jays per floor 30003		
X	Formwork- Elevated Slads		
	220329 = 17 days per floor		
	Concrete Placement - Elevated State		
	731 : 1.5 days per floor		
	Reinforcement - walls		
	14807.4 = 0.7 days per floor 8000.3		
	Formwork-Walls		
	6112.1 = 7.3 days per floor 200.3		
	Concrete Placement - Walls		
	117.2 = 0.4 days per floor		
	· * *		
		· · · ·	
	1 () () () () () () () () () (